#### Physics with India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO)

Amol Dighe

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India (For INO Collaboration)

All documents regarding INO are available at http://www.imsc.res.in/~ino

ICHEP 06, Moscow, July 2006

A proposed underground facility at PUSHEP in the Nilagiri Mountains in the Southern part of India, about 240 Km south of Bangalore.

- A proposed underground facility at PUSHEP in the Nilagiri Mountains in the Southern part of India, about 240 Km south of Bangalore.
- A cavern of dimensions  $150m \times 22 m \times 30m$  will be constructed at the end of a 2.1 km long tunnel.

- A proposed underground facility at PUSHEP in the Nilagiri Mountains in the Southern part of India, about 240 Km south of Bangalore.
- A cavern of dimensions  $150m \times 22 m \times 30m$  will be constructed at the end of a 2.1 km long tunnel.
- At least 1 km of rock overburden in all directions (similar to Gran Sasso).

- A proposed underground facility at PUSHEP in the Nilagiri Mountains in the Southern part of India, about 240 Km south of Bangalore.
- A cavern of dimensions  $150m \times 22 m \times 30m$  will be constructed at the end of a 2.1 km long tunnel.
- At least 1 km of rock overburden in all directions (similar to Gran Sasso).
- INO will house 50 kiloton Iron CALorimeter (ICAL) capable of detecting atmospheric  $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  interactions.

- A proposed underground facility at PUSHEP in the Nilagiri Mountains in the Southern part of India, about 240 Km south of Bangalore.
- A cavern of dimensions  $150m \times 22 m \times 30m$  will be constructed at the end of a 2.1 km long tunnel.
- At least 1 km of rock overburden in all directions (similar to Gran Sasso).
- INO will house 50 kiloton Iron CALorimeter (ICAL) capable of detecting atmospheric  $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  interactions.
- May also host some smaller experiments (such as neutrinoless double beta decay searches) which require low cosmic ray background environments.

#### **Location of PUSHEP**





#### **A view of PUSHEP**



PUSHEP in the Nilagiris, near Ooty (Masinagudi)

Physics withIndia-based Neutrino Observatory (INO) – p.4/32

#### **Underground Cavern**



Total mass of 50 kilotons.

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).
- 140 layers of iron plates and RPCs.

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).
- 140 layers of iron plates and RPCs.
- Three modules, each of the size  $16m \times 16m \times 12m$ .

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).
- 140 layers of iron plates and RPCs.
- Three modules, each of the size  $16m \times 16 m \times 12m$ .
- The cavern envisaged is big enough to accommodate one more replica of the above detector so that, if needed, a 100 Kton mass detector can be constructed.

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).
- 140 layers of iron plates and RPCs.
- Three modules, each of the size  $16m \times 16m \times 12m$ .
- The cavern envisaged is big enough to accommodate one more replica of the above detector so that, if needed, a 100 Kton mass detector can be constructed.
- Magnetic field (1.3 T) allows determination of muon charge so that  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  can be studied separately.

- Total mass of 50 kilotons.
- 6 cm thick iron plates interspersed with 2.5 cm gaps, which house Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs).
- 140 layers of iron plates and RPCs.
- Three modules, each of the size  $16m \times 16m \times 12m$ .
- The cavern envisaged is big enough to accommodate one more replica of the above detector so that, if needed, a 100 Kton mass detector can be constructed.
- Magnetic field (1.3 T) allows determination of muon charge so that  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  can be studied separately.
- Similar to the earlier Monolith proposal.

#### **INO Detector Concept**





# Two possible magnet designs



A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.

- A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.
- Operated at a high voltage of about 9.5 KV in streamer mode.

- A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.
- Operated at a high voltage of about 9.5 KV in streamer mode.
- Close to 90% efficiency, time resolution of 1 ns.

- A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.
- Operated at a high voltage of about 9.5 KV in streamer mode.
- Close to 90% efficiency, time resolution of 1 ns.
- Pick-up strips, 3 cm wide, above and below each RPC for the determination of x and y coordinates of the passage of charged particle

- A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.
- Operated at a high voltage of about 9.5 KV in streamer mode.
- Close to 90% efficiency, time resolution of 1 ns.
- Pick-up strips, 3 cm wide, above and below each RPC for the determination of x and y coordinates of the passage of charged particle
- The z coordinate is provided by the location of RPC itself.

- A pair of 2mm thick glass plates of area 2m × 2m separated by 2mm spacer.
- Operated at a high voltage of about 9.5 KV in streamer mode.
- Close to 90% efficiency, time resolution of 1 ns.
- Pick-up strips, 3 cm wide, above and below each RPC for the determination of x and y coordinates of the passage of charged particle
- The z coordinate is provided by the location of RPC itself.
- Good reconstruction of energy and direction of charged particles.



- Total number of RPC units: 27000
- Number of electronic readout channels: 3.6 million

## **Physics Motivations**

- Reconfirm the first oscillation dip as a function of L/E in atmospheric neutrinos (to a greater significance level)
- Measure  $|\Delta_{31}|$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  precisely
- Determine neutrino mass hierarchy (normal/inverted)
- Resolve the  $\theta_{23}$  octant ambiguity
- Distinguish between  $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  and  $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$
- Search for CPT violation

## **Physics Motivations**

- Reconfirm the first oscillation dip as a function of L/E in atmospheric neutrinos (to a greater significance level)
- Measure  $|\Delta_{31}|$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  precisely
- Determine neutrino mass hierarchy (normal/inverted)
- Resolve the  $\theta_{23}$  octant ambiguity
- Distinguish between  $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  and  $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$
- Search for CPT violation

All results are generated assuming 15% resolution in L as well as E, unless specified otherwise.

## L/E distribution of muon events



## **Up/Down ratio of muon events**



- Position of the dip  $\Rightarrow \Delta m^2_{
  m atm}$
- Up/Down ratio at the dip  $\Rightarrow \sin^2 2\theta_{23}$

# **Precision for** $|\Delta_{31}|$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$

Experiment	$\Delta_{31}$	$\sin^2  heta_{23}$
Current data	88%	79%
MINOS + CNGS	26%	78%
T2K (SK, 0.75 MW, 5 years)	12%	46%
NO $\nu$ A (30 Kton, 0.6 MW, 5 years)	25%	86%
ICAL (50 Kton, atm $\nu$ , 5 years)	20%	60%

- Input values:  $|\Delta_{31}| = 0.002 \text{ eV}^2$  and  $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ .
- Table adapted from P. Huber et al., hep-ph/0412133, with the information of ICAL added.

# The relative error on $|\Delta_{31}|$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$



• Error as a function of the input value of  $|\Delta_{31}|$  at 2  $\sigma$ .

## Mass hierarchy (normal/inverted)

At resonance energies and long pathlengths, matter effects modify  $\nu_{\mu}$  survival probability significantly.

R. Gandhi *et al.*, PRL 94, 051801 (2005) PRD 73, 053001 (2006)



Situation reversed for antineutrinos

#### **Up-down ratios for** $\nu$ **and** $\bar{\nu}$

The difference in the up/down ratio for  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ :  $\mathcal{A} \equiv U/D - \bar{U}/\bar{D}$  as a function of L/Eis very sensitive to the sign of  $\Delta_{31}$ .



R: energy/time resolution included
blue: normal hierarchy red: inverted hierarchy
D. Indumathi and M.V.N. Murthy,

PRD 71, 013001 (2005) INO Project Report, May 2006

Higher  $E_{\min} \Rightarrow$  more asymmetry but less events

 $\Delta \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{norm} - \mathcal{A}_{inv}$ 

Exposure (kt-years)	$ heta_{13}$	$\Delta \mathcal{A}$	Signifi cance
480	$7^{\circ}$	$0.167 \pm 0.230$	$0.7\sigma, 51.6\%$
1120	$7^{\circ}$	$0.167 \pm 0.151$	$1.1\sigma, 72.9\%$
480	11°	$0.415 \pm 0.230$	$1.8\sigma,92.8\%$
1120	$11^{\circ}$	$0.415 \pm 0.150$	$2.8\sigma,99.6\%$
480	$7^{\circ}$	$0.232\pm0.220$	$1.1\sigma, 72.9\%$
1120	$7^{\circ}$	$0.232 \pm 0.144$	$1.6\sigma, 89.0\%$
480	11°	$0.565 \pm 0.220$	$2.6\sigma, 99.1\%$
1120	$11^{\circ}$	$0.565 \pm 0.144$	$3.9\sigma, 99.99\%$

- E and L resolutions of 15% (upper) and 10% (lower).
- Exposure time 480 kt-year  $\longrightarrow 1120$  kt-year has the same effect as resolution  $15\% \longrightarrow 10\%$
- Importance of L and E resolution highlighted in S. Petcov and T. Schwetz, NPB 740, 1 (2006)

## **Octant ambiguity of** $\theta_{23}$

(Is  $\theta_{23}$  greater or less than  $\pi/4$  ?)

• One of the matter dependent terms in  $P_{\mu\mu}$  goes as  $\sin^4 \theta_{23}$ . By appropriate cuts on *E* and *L* this term can be isolated and to determine if  $\theta_{23}$  is greater or less than  $\pi/4$ .

S. Choubey and P. Roy, PRD 73, 013006 (2006) D. Indumathi *et al.*, hep-ph/0603032

- At present  $|D \equiv 0.5 \sin^2 \theta_{23}|$  is constrained to be about 0.16 at  $3\sigma$ . If  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.02$  then 1000 kt-year exposure can:
  - measure a non-zero value for |D| > 0.09 at  $3\sigma$ .
  - Determine the sign of *D* for |D| > 0.1 at  $3\sigma$

#### $P_{\mu\mu}$ as a function of $\theta_{23}$



**•** For intermediate E, even the sign of D discernible

 Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours

- Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours
- $\nu_{\tau}$  CC events (above 4 GeV) produce a  $\tau$ , whose decays are muonless 80% of the time

- Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours
- $\nu_{\tau}$  CC events (above 4 GeV) produce a  $\tau$ , whose decays are muonless 80% of the time
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  oscillations produce an excess of upward going muonless events.

- Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours
- $\nu_{\tau}$  CC events (above 4 GeV) produce a  $\tau$ , whose decays are muonless 80% of the time
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  oscillations produce an excess of upward going muonless events.
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations produce a deficit of upward going NC events

- Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours
- $\nu_{\tau}$  CC events (above 4 GeV) produce a  $\tau$ , whose decays are muonless 80% of the time
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  oscillations produce an excess of upward going muonless events.
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations produce a deficit of upward going NC events
- Possible to determine directly (rather than by global fits) what fraction of  $\nu_{\mu}$  are oscillating into sterile neutrinos.
  D. Choudhury and A. Datta, hep-ph/0606100

- Muonless events are produced by DIS neutral current (NC) interactions of all active neutrino flavours
- $\nu_{\tau}$  CC events (above 4 GeV) produce a  $\tau$ , whose decays are muonless 80% of the time
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  oscillations produce an excess of upward going muonless events.
- $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}$  oscillations produce a deficit of upward going NC events
- Possible to determine directly (rather than by global fits) what fraction of  $\nu_{\mu}$  are oscillating into sterile neutrinos.
  D. Choudhury and A. Datta, hep-ph/0606100
- MINOS is also capable of doing this

#### **Up-down asymmetry for muonless events**



#### **CPT** violation

- Charge determination  $\Rightarrow$ both  $P_{\mu\mu}$  and  $P_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}}$  measurable independently.
- Possibility of searching for CPT violation.
- CPT violation Parametrized as:  $\mathcal{L}_{CPT} = \bar{\nu}_L^{\alpha} b_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \nu_L^{\beta}$ V. Barger *et al.*, PRL 85, 5055 (2000)
- Energy operator becomes  $H = m^2/2E + b^0$
- Measurable CPT violating parameter:  $\delta b$ , the difference in the eigenvalues of the  $b^0$  matrix

A. Datta et al, Phys. Lett. B 597, 356 (2004).

#### **Sensitivity to CPT violation**



• L/E distribution can detect  $\delta b \gtrsim 10^{-23}$  GeV

• To be compared to  $\Delta m^2/2E \sim 10^{-21} \text{ GeV}$ 

#### **Determination of** $\delta b$



• For  $\delta b > 10^{-22}$  GeV, distribution in L is sensitive to the value of  $\delta b$ 

A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.
- A site (PUSHEP) to house the detector is identified

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.
- A site (PUSHEP) to house the detector is identified
- The INO Project Report finalized in May 2006 and is under review currently

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.
- A site (PUSHEP) to house the detector is identified
- The INO Project Report finalized in May 2006 and is under review currently
- Feasibility studies and optimization in progress, lots of things to be done

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.
- A site (PUSHEP) to house the detector is identified
- The INO Project Report finalized in May 2006 and is under review currently
- Feasibility studies and optimization in progress, lots of things to be done
- ICAL can be the end detector for neutrinos from muon storage rings: distance from JPARC/CERN  $\sim$  7000 km (magic baseline)

- A detector with good L and E resolution can exploit the wide L and E range of the atmospheric neutrinos
- The basic design for a 50 kt magnetized Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) is finalized.
- A site (PUSHEP) to house the detector is identified
- The INO Project Report finalized in May 2006 and is under review currently
- Feasibility studies and optimization in progress, lots of things to be done
- ICAL can be the end detector for neutrinos from muon storage rings: distance from JPARC/CERN  $\sim$  7000 km (magic baseline)
- We welcome more International participation

#### That's all, folks !

#### http://www.imsc.res.in/~ino

#### **Extra slides**

# $P_{\mu\mu}$ in vacuum and matter

Muon neutrino survival probability in vacuum:

$$P_{\mu\mu}(vac) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cos^2 \theta_{13} \sin^2 (1.27\Delta_{31}L/E) -\sin^4 \theta_{23} \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 (1.27\Delta_{31}L/E)$$

Muon neutrino survival probability in matter:

$$P_{\mu\mu}(mat) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cos^2 \theta_{13}^m \sin^2 [1.27(\Delta_{31} + A + \Delta_{31}^m)L/2E] -\sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \theta_{13}^m \sin^2 [1.27(\Delta_{31} + A - \Delta_{31}^m)L/2E] -\sin^4 \theta_{23} \sin^2 2\theta_{13}^m \sin^2 (1.27\Delta_{31}^m L/E)$$

 $A = 2\sqrt{2}G_F N_e E$ 



 $P_{\mu\mu}$  for both hierarchies,  $L=9700~{\rm km}$ 



 $P_{\mu\mu}$  vs.  $\theta_{23}$  for L = 9700 km



#### **CPT violation: a comment**

• If we parametrize CPT violation as  $\Delta = \Delta_{GUT} + \Delta_{CPT}$  and  $\overline{\Delta} = \Delta_{GUT} - \Delta_{CPT}$ , INO is sensitive to  $\Delta_{CPT}/\Delta_{GUT} \sim 1\%$