### Constraining flavor-dependent long range forces from neutrino experiments

Amol Dighe

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

JIGSAW07, TIFR, Feb 2007

# **Extra** $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetries

- Minimal extensions of the SM
- $X = L_e L_\mu, L_e L_\tau, L_\mu L_\tau$ : anomaly-free
- Corresponding vector gauge bosons  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$
- Interaction  $\mathcal{L}_X = g_X \overline{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{B}_{\mu} X \Psi$
- Additional neutral current processes
- If B are massless / extremely light, the force is long range

# Limits from gravity experiments

- Long range forces:  $1/r^2$  just like gravity, but only between leptons (favor dependent)
- Should have signatures in gravity experiments that test the violation of equivalence principle
- Lunar ranging and torsion balance experiments:  $\alpha_{e\ \mu/ au} < 3.4 imes 10^{-49}$

Adelberger, Heckel, Nelson, hep-ph/0307284

**Breaking of**  $L_e - L_{\mu/\tau}$  **symmetry** 

•  $L_e - L_\mu$  has to be broken for nonzero, nonmaximal mixing angles:

$$m_{\rm eff} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{e\mu} & 0 \\ m_{e\mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Gauge bosons  $\mathcal{B}$  should have a mass  $m_{\mathcal{B}} \sim g \langle v \rangle$
- Range  $R \gtrsim R_{\rm ES} \sim 10^{13}$  cm and  $g \lesssim 10^{-25}$  possible with the symmetry breaking scale  $\langle v \rangle \sim 1$  GeV
- Similarly with  $L_e L_{\tau}$

## **Effective potential with** $L_e - L_\mu$

- Additional forward scattering NC amplitude:
  - $A(\nu_e e^- \to \nu_e e^-) \propto +g^2/q^2$  $A(\nu_\mu e^- \to \nu_\mu e^-) \propto -g^2/q^2$  $A(\nu_\tau e^- \to \nu_\tau e^-) = 0$

## Effective potential with $L_e - L_\mu$

- Additional forward scattering NC amplitude:  $\begin{array}{l} A(\nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^-) \propto +g^2/q^2 \\ A(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu e^-) \propto -g^2/q^2 \\ A(\nu_\tau e^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau e^-) = 0 \end{array}$
- Effective potentials in favor basis:

$$V_{(ee)} = +\alpha \int d^3 r \ n_e(\vec{r})/r \equiv V_{e\mu}$$
$$V_{(\mu\mu)} = -\alpha \int d^3 r \ n_e(\vec{r})/r \equiv -V_{e\mu} ,$$
$$V_{(\tau\tau)} = 0$$

## **Effective potential with** $L_e - L_\mu$

- Additional forward scattering NC amplitude:  $\begin{array}{l} A(\nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^-) \propto +g^2/q^2 \\ A(\nu_\mu e^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu e^-) \propto -g^2/q^2 \\ A(\nu_\tau e^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau e^-) = 0 \end{array}$
- Effective potentials in favor basis:

$$V_{(ee)} = +\alpha \int d^3 r \ n_e(\vec{r})/r \equiv V_{e\mu}$$
$$V_{(\mu\mu)} = -\alpha \int d^3 r \ n_e(\vec{r})/r \equiv -V_{e\mu} ,$$
$$V_{(\tau\tau)} = 0$$

Potential due to large spherical "electron sources":

$$V_{e\mu}^{\odot}(r) = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{e\mu}}{r} \int_{0}^{r_{\odot}} r''^{2} n_{e}(r'') dr'' = \frac{\alpha_{e\mu}}{r} N_{e}^{\odot}$$

Potential due to the Sun:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\odot}(r_{ES}) = \frac{\alpha N_e^{\odot}}{R_{ES}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e\beta}}{10^{-50}}\right)$$

Potential due to the Sun:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\odot}(r_{ES}) = \frac{\alpha N_e^{\odot}}{R_{ES}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e\beta}}{10^{-50}}\right)$$

Potential due to the Earth at its surface:

$$V_{e\mu}^E \sim \frac{M_E}{M_\odot} \frac{R_{ES}}{R_E} V_{e\mu}(r_{ES}) \approx 0.1 \ V_{e\mu}(r_{ES})$$

Potential due to the Sun:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\odot}(r_{ES}) = \frac{\alpha N_e^{\odot}}{R_{ES}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e\beta}}{10^{-50}}\right)$$

Potential due to the Earth at its surface:

$$V_{e\mu}^E \sim \frac{M_E}{M_{\odot}} \frac{R_{ES}}{R_E} V_{e\mu}(r_{ES}) \approx 0.1 \ V_{e\mu}(r_{ES})$$

LR potential due to the Earth may be neglected LR potential is almost constant across the Earth

Potential due to the Sun:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\odot}(r_{ES}) = \frac{\alpha N_e^{\odot}}{R_{ES}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e\beta}}{10^{-50}}\right)$$

Potential due to the Earth at its surface:

$$V_{e\mu}^E \sim \frac{M_E}{M_{\odot}} \frac{R_{ES}}{R_E} V_{e\mu}(r_{ES}) \approx 0.1 \ V_{e\mu}(r_{ES})$$

- LR potential due to the Earth may be neglected LR potential is almost constant across the Earth
- Local screening effects over  $R_{ES}$  insignificant

A. Joshipura and S. Mohanty, PLB 584 (2004) 103

Potential due to the Sun:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\odot}(r_{ES}) = \frac{\alpha N_e^{\odot}}{R_{ES}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e\beta}}{10^{-50}}\right)$$

Potential due to the Earth at its surface:

$$V_{e\mu}^E \sim \frac{M_E}{M_{\odot}} \frac{R_{ES}}{R_E} V_{e\mu}(r_{ES}) \approx 0.1 \ V_{e\mu}(r_{ES})$$

- LR potential due to the Earth may be neglected LR potential is almost constant across the Earth
- Local screening effects over  $R_{ES}$  insignificant

A. Joshipura and S. Mohanty, PLB 584 (2004) 103

• Atmospheric  $\Delta m^2/E \sim 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$  $\Rightarrow$  even  $\alpha \sim 10^{-50}$  may cause significant effects

•  $R_{LR} \gtrsim R_{gal} \Rightarrow$  collective potential due to all the galactic electrons may be significant

- $R_{LR} \gtrsim R_{gal} \Rightarrow$  collective potential due to all the galactic electrons may be significant

- $R_{LR} \gtrsim R_{gal} \Rightarrow$  collective potential due to all the galactic electrons may be significant
- $\bar{\nu}_e \text{ in CNB} \Rightarrow L_{\text{screen}} \sim \text{ a few hundred kpc for } m_{\nu} \sim 0.1 \text{ eV}$ A. Dolgov and G. Raffelt, hep-ph/9503438
- Galactic potential:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\text{gal}} = b \, \alpha_{e\beta} \, \frac{N_{e,\text{gal}}^0}{R_{\text{gal}}^0}$$

 $N_{e,\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10^{12} N_e^\odot \;,\; R_{\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10 \; \mathrm{kpc}$ 

- $R_{LR} \gtrsim R_{gal} \Rightarrow$  collective potential due to all the galactic electrons may be significant
- $\bar{\nu}_e \text{ in CNB} \Rightarrow L_{\text{screen}} \sim \text{ a few hundred kpc for } m_{\nu} \sim 0.1 \text{ eV}$ A. Dolgov and G. Raffelt, hep-ph/9503438
- Galactic potential:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\text{gal}} = b \ \alpha_{e\beta} \ \frac{N_{e,\text{gal}}^0}{R_{\text{gal}}^0}$$

 $N_{e,\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10^{12} N_e^\odot \;,\; R_{\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10 \; \mathrm{kpc}$ 

**•** b parametrizes our ignorance: 0.05 < b < 1

- $R_{LR} \gtrsim R_{gal} \Rightarrow$  collective potential due to all the galactic electrons may be significant
- $\bar{\nu}_e \text{ in CNB} \Rightarrow L_{\text{screen}} \sim \text{ a few hundred kpc for } m_{\nu} \sim 0.1 \text{ eV}$ A. Dolgov and G. Raffelt, hep-ph/9503438
- Galactic potential:

$$V_{e\beta}^{\text{gal}} = b \ \alpha_{e\beta} \ \frac{N_{e,\text{gal}}^0}{R_{\text{gal}}^0}$$

 $N_{e,\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10^{12} N_e^\odot \;,\; R_{\mathrm{gal}}^0 \equiv 10 \; \mathrm{kpc}$ 

- **•** b parametrizes our ignorance: 0.05 < b < 1
- Initial analysis with  $R_{LR} \ll R_{gal}$ Later add the modifications due to the galaxy

• Effective 2- $\nu$  Hamiltonian in  $\nu_{\mu}$ - $\nu_{\tau}$  basis:

 $H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} - 2V_{e\mu} & \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} \\ \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} & \Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \ \Delta = \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2E}$ 

- Effective 2- $\nu$  Hamiltonian in  $\nu_{\mu}$ - $\nu_{\tau}$  basis:  $H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} - 2V_{e\mu} & \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} \\ \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} & \Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} , \Delta = \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2E}$
- The  $\mu$ - $\tau$  mixing angle in matter:

$$\tan 2\theta_{23m} \approx \frac{\Delta \sin 2\theta_{23}}{\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} + V_{e\mu}}$$

- Effective 2- $\nu$  Hamiltonian in  $\nu_{\mu}$ - $\nu_{\tau}$  basis:  $H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} - 2V_{e\mu} & \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} \\ \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} & \Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} , \Delta = \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2E}$
- The  $\mu$ - $\tau$  mixing angle in matter:

$$\tan 2\theta_{23m} \approx \frac{\Delta \sin 2\theta_{23}}{\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} + V_{e\mu}}$$

**●** 2- $\nu$  treatment enough since  $\theta_{13}$  small and  $\Delta_{21} \ll \Delta_{32}$ 

- Effective 2- $\nu$  Hamiltonian in  $\nu_{\mu}$ - $\nu_{\tau}$  basis:  $H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} - 2V_{e\mu} & \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} \\ \Delta \sin 2\theta_{23} & \Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\Delta = \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2E}$
- The  $\mu$ - $\tau$  mixing angle in matter:

$$\tan 2\theta_{23m} \approx \frac{\Delta \sin 2\theta_{23}}{\Delta \cos 2\theta_{23} + V_{e\mu}}$$

- **9** 2- $\nu$  treatment enough since  $\theta_{13}$  small and  $\Delta_{21} \ll \Delta_{32}$
- Oscillation probability changes:

$$P_{\mu\mu} = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23m} \sin^2 \left( \frac{(m_{3m}^2 - m_{2m}^2)L}{4E} \right)$$

# Limit on $\alpha_{e\mu}$ from atmospheric neutrinos



(Dotted curve with  $\alpha_{e\mu} = 5.5 \times 10^{-52}$ )

- Matter effects suppress mixing, increase  $P_{\mu\mu}$
- Larger  $\alpha$  values ruled out:  $\alpha_{e\mu} < 5.5 \times 10^{-52}, \ \alpha_{e\tau} < 6.4 \times 10^{-52}$ (90% C.L.)
  A. Joshipura and S. Mohanty, PLB 584 (2004) 103
- Improvement by almost 2.5 orders of magnitude !

# LR potential from the Sun



(For E = 10 MeV)

• Dominates over  $V_{CC}$  for  $\alpha_{e\beta} \gtrsim 10^{-53}$ 

M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, P.C. de Holanda, E. Masso,

R. Zukanovich Funchal, hep-ph/0609094

• Exceeds  $\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2/(2E)$  for  $\alpha_{e\beta} > 10^{-52}$ 

### **Effective masses and mixing angles**

The effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_{f} = \Delta_{32} \times \begin{pmatrix} xs_{12}^{2} + y_{c} + y_{e\mu} & xc_{12}s_{12}c_{23} + s_{13}s_{23} & -xc_{12}s_{12}s_{23} - s_{13}c_{23} \\ xc_{12}s_{12}c_{23} + s_{13}s_{23} & s_{23}^{2} + xc_{12}^{2}c_{23}^{2} - y_{e\mu} & c_{23}s_{23}(1 - xc_{12}^{2}) \\ -xc_{12}s_{12}s_{23} - s_{13}c_{23} & c_{23}s_{23}(1 - xc_{12}^{2}) & c_{23}^{2} + xc_{12}^{2}s_{23}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$x \equiv \frac{\Delta_{21}}{\Delta_{32}} \approx 0.03 , \quad y_{c} \equiv \frac{V_{cc}}{\Delta_{32}} = \frac{2EV_{cc}}{\Delta m_{32}^{2}} , \quad y_{e\mu} \equiv \frac{V_{e\mu}}{\Delta_{32}} = \frac{2EV_{e\mu}}{\Delta m_{32}^{2}}$$

• Can be diagonalized keeping terms linear in x and  $s_{13}$  (except in a small range of  $y_{e\mu}$ )

A. Bandyopadhyay, AD, A. Joshipura, hep-ph/0610263

## Mixing angles in matter

$$\tan 2\theta_{23m} \approx \frac{\sin 2\theta_{23}(1 - xc_{12}^2)}{\cos 2\theta_{23}(1 - xc_{12}^2) + y_{e\mu}}$$

$$\tan 2\theta_{13m} \approx \frac{2(xs_{12}c_{12}S + s_{13}C)}{C^2 + x(c_{12}^2S^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + \sin^2\theta_{23m})}$$

$$\tan 2\theta_{12m} \approx \frac{2(xs_{12}c_{12}C - s_{13}S)}{S^2 + x(c_{12}^2C^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + \cos^2\theta_{23m})}$$

$$\delta\theta_{23} = \theta_{23m} - \theta_{23}, S = \sin \delta\theta_{23}, C = \cos \delta\theta_{23}$$

- Valid as long as the denominator in  $\theta_{13m}$  equation is nonvanishing, i.e.  $\theta_{13m}$  is not too large
- When  $\theta_{13m}$  is large (resonance), numerical means have to be used. This happens around  $y_{e\mu} \approx 2/3$

### **Neutrino masses in matter**

$$m_{1m}^2 \approx \Delta_{32} E \left[ x (c_{12}^2 C^2 + S^2) + y_c + y_{e\mu} \sin^2 \theta_{23m} + S^2 - D^{1/2} \right]$$

$$m_{2m}^2 \approx \Delta_{32} E \left[ x (c_{12}^2 C^2 + S^2) + y_c + y_{e\mu} \sin^2 \theta_{23m} + S^2 + D^{1/2} \right]$$

$$m_{3m}^2 = 2\Delta m_{atm}^2 E (C^2 + x c_{12}^2 S^2 - y_{e\mu} \sin^2 \theta_{23m}) ,$$

$$D = \left[S^2 + x(c_{12}^2C^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + \cos^2\theta_{23m})\right]^2 + 4 \left(xs_{12}c_{12}C - s_{13}S\right)^2$$

,

,

# *r*-dependence of $m_i^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{ij}$



For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

•  $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

- $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos
- No enhancement of  $\theta_{13m}$  since  $y_{e\mu} \ll 2/3$

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

- $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos
- No enhancement of  $\theta_{13m}$  since  $y_{e\mu} \ll 2/3$
- Problem reduces to 2- $\nu$  mixing, with  $V_{12} \approx V_{cc} + V_{e\mu}(1 + c_{23m}^2)$

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

- $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos
- No enhancement of  $\theta_{13m}$  since  $y_{e\mu} \ll 2/3$
- Problem reduces to 2- $\nu$  mixing, with  $V_{12} \approx V_{cc} + V_{e\mu}(1 + c_{23m}^2)$
- Mixing of the third favor cannot be neglected !

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

- $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos
- No enhancement of  $\theta_{13m}$  since  $y_{e\mu} \ll 2/3$
- Problem reduces to 2- $\nu$  mixing, with  $V_{12} \approx V_{cc} + V_{e\mu}(1 + c_{23m}^2)$
- Mixing of the third favor cannot be neglected !
- Resonance at  $\Delta m_{21}^2 \cos 2\theta_{12} \approx 2E \left[ V_{cc} + V_{e\mu} (1 + c_{23m}^2) \right]$ Shifted outside the sun for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-53}$  !

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \lesssim 10^{-52}$ 

- $y_{e\mu} \ll 1$ , so that  $\delta \theta_{23} \sim -y_{e\mu} \sin 2\theta_{23}/2$ . At larger  $y_{e\mu}$ , this causes problems with atmospheric neutrinos
- No enhancement of  $\theta_{13m}$  since  $y_{e\mu} \ll 2/3$
- Problem reduces to 2- $\nu$  mixing, with  $V_{12} \approx V_{cc} + V_{e\mu}(1 + c_{23m}^2)$
- Mixing of the third favor cannot be neglected !
- Resonance at  $\Delta m_{21}^2 \cos 2\theta_{12} \approx 2E \left[ V_{cc} + V_{e\mu} (1 + c_{23m}^2) \right]$ Shifted outside the sun for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-53}$  !
- Adiabaticity always obeyed for  $\alpha_{e\mu} \gtrsim 10^{-58}$ :

$$\gamma_{12R} \equiv \frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{2E} \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_{12}}{\cos 2\theta_{12}} \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_{12}} \frac{d\mathcal{V}_{12}}{dr} \right|_{res}^{-1}$$
$$\approx \alpha_{e\mu} N_e \tan^2 2\theta_{12} (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{23}) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{58} \alpha_{e\mu}$$

### **Survival probability for solar** $\nu_e$

 $P_{ee}(E) = (1 - P_L) \cos^2 \theta_{13P} \cos^2 \theta_{12P} \cos^2 \theta_{13E} \cos^2 \theta_{12E}$  $+ P_L \cos^2 \theta_{13P} \sin^2 \theta_{12P} \cos^2 \theta_{13E} \cos^2 \theta_{12E}$  $+ (1 - P_L) \cos^2 \theta_{13P} \sin^2 \theta_{12P} \cos^2 \theta_{13E} \sin^2 \theta_{12E}$  $+ P_L \cos^2 \theta_{13P} \cos^2 \theta_{12P} \cos^2 \theta_{13E} \sin^2 \theta_{12E}$  $+ \sin^2 \theta_{13P} \sin^2 \theta_{13E} .$ 

(P: production point, E: Earth)

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \gtrsim 10^{-52}$ 

•  $\theta_{13m}$  resonantly enhanced when  $C^2 + x(S^2c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + s_{23m}^2) \approx 0$ .

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \gtrsim 10^{-52}$ 

- $\theta_{13m}$  resonantly enhanced when  $C^2 + x(S^2c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + s_{23m}^2) \approx 0$ .
- H-L resonance structure *a* la supernova !

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \gtrsim 10^{-52}$ 

- $\theta_{13m}$  resonantly enhanced when  $C^2 + x(S^2c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + s_{23m}^2) \approx 0$ .
- H-L resonance structure *a* la supernova !
- L resonance outside the Sun, and hence always adiabatic ( $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-52}$ )

For  $\alpha_{e\mu} \gtrsim 10^{-52}$ 

- $\theta_{13m}$  resonantly enhanced when  $C^2 + x(S^2c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2) - y_c - y_{e\mu}(1 + s_{23m}^2) \approx 0$ .
- H-L resonance structure *a* la supernova !
- L resonance outside the Sun, and hence always adiabatic ( $\alpha \ge 10^{-52}$ )
- Near the  $\nu_{2m}$ - $\nu_{3m}$  level crossing (*H*), effective potential  $\mathcal{V}_{23} = V_{cc} + V_{e\mu}(1 + s_{23m}^2)$

### Survival probability for solar $\nu_e$

$$P_{ee}(E) = \cos^{2} \theta_{13P} \cos^{2} \theta_{12P} \cos^{2} \theta_{13E} \cos^{2} \theta_{12E} + (1 - P_{H}) \cos^{2} \theta_{13P} \sin^{2} \theta_{12P} \cos^{2} \theta_{13E} \sin^{2} \theta_{12E} + P_{H} \sin^{2} \theta_{13P} \cos^{2} \theta_{13E} \sin^{2} \theta_{12E} + (1 - P_{H}) \sin^{2} \theta_{13P} \sin^{2} \theta_{13E} + P_{H} \cos^{2} \theta_{13P} \sin^{2} \theta_{12P} \sin^{2} \theta_{13E} ,$$

$$P_H \approx \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{2} \left|\frac{m_3^2 - m_2^2}{2E \ d\theta_{13m}/dr}\right|_{\rm res}\right]$$

## $\theta_{13}$ - dependence of $P_H$



• When  $P_H \neq 0$  or 1, it has a strong energy dependence

# The $\chi^2$ analysis

For total event rates:

$$\chi_{\text{rates}}^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{\text{expt}}} (P_{i}^{\text{th}} - P_{i}^{\text{expt}}) \left[ (\sigma_{ij}^{rates})^{2} \right]^{-1} (P_{j}^{\text{th}} - P_{j}^{\text{expt}})$$

For spectral data:

$$\chi_{\rm spec}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{\rm bins}} (S_i^{\rm th} - S_i^{\rm expt}) \left[ (\sigma_{ij}{}^{spec})^2 \right]^{-1} (S_j^{\rm th} - S_j^{\rm expt})$$

Global analysis:

$$\chi^2 = \chi^2_{\rm Cl,Ga\ rates} + \chi^2_{\rm SK\ spec} + \chi^2_{\rm SNO\ spec} + \chi^2_{\rm KamLAND} \; .$$

### **KamLAND survival probabilty**

$$P_{\bar{e}\bar{e}}^{KL} =$$

$$1 - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \left[ \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{4E} \right) \right] - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E} \right)$$

$$+\sin^2 2\theta_{13}\sin^2 \theta_{12} \left[\sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right) - \sin^2 \left(\frac{(\Delta m_{31}^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2)L}{4E}\right)\right]$$

All quantities computed at the Earth, and for antineutrinos

### **Bounds as a function of** $\theta_{13}$



- $\theta_{13} = 0 \Rightarrow P_H = 1$ , no energy dependence
- 0 < θ<sub>13</sub> ≤ 1°: large energy dependence
   ⇒ large χ<sup>2</sup> for α ≥ 10<sup>-52</sup>
- $θ_{13} \gtrsim 1^{\circ} \Rightarrow P_H \approx 1$ , no energy dependence
- Most conservative limits with  $\theta_{13} = 0$

### **Bounds for** $L_e - L_\mu$ and $L_e - L_\tau$



•  $R_{LR} \ll R_{\text{gal}} \Rightarrow b = 0$ :  $\alpha_{e\mu} < 3.4 \times 10^{-53}$   $\alpha_{e\tau} < 2.5 \times 10^{-53}$ 

An order of magnitude better than the earlier limit !

# LR potential due to the galaxy



- $V_{e\mu}^{\text{gal}} \gg \Delta m_{\odot}^2/(2E) \Rightarrow \text{No MSW resonance}$  $b\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-53}$  ruled out
- $V_{e\mu}^{\text{gal}} \gtrsim V_{CC}$  inside the sun  $\Rightarrow$  MSW adiabatic for low *E* Conflicts with radiochemical data

# $L_e - L_{\mu/\tau}$ constraints with galaxy



- $L_e L_\mu$ :  $\alpha_{e\mu} < 2.9 \times 10^{-54} \ (b = 0.1)$  ,  $\alpha_{e\mu} < 2.6 \times 10^{-55} \ (b = 1)$
- $L_e L_\tau$ :  $\alpha_{e\tau} < 2.3 \times 10^{-54} \ (b = 0.1)$  ,  $\alpha_{e\tau} < 2.1 \times 10^{-55} \ (b = 1)$
- Orders of magnitude better than the earlier limits !

A. Bandyopadhyay, AD, A. Joshipura, hep-ph/0610263

### LR forces and supernova



 $M = 15 M_{\odot}$ , solar metallicity S. E. Wooseley, A. Heger and T. A. Weaver, RMP 74, 1025 (2002)

• Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$ 

- Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$
- Both H and L Resonances shifted outwards

- Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$
- Both H and L Resonances shifted outwards
- $V_{e\mu}$  smoother than  $V_{CC}$

 $\Rightarrow$  Resonances adiabatic even for smaller  $\theta_{13}$ 

- Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$
- Both H and L Resonances shifted outwards
- $V_{e\mu}$  smoother than  $V_{CC}$  $\Rightarrow$  Resonances adiabatic even for smaller  $\theta_{13}$
- Earth matter effects absent even for extremely small  $\theta_{13}$

- Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$
- Both H and L Resonances shifted outwards
- $V_{e\mu}$  smoother than  $V_{CC}$  $\Rightarrow$  Resonances adiabatic even for smaller  $\theta_{13}$
- Earth matter effects absent even for extremely small  $\theta_{13}$
- Shock wave effects at a much later time: hard to observe

- Significant effects for  $\alpha \gtrsim 10^{-54}$
- Both H and L Resonances shifted outwards
- $V_{e\mu}$  smoother than  $V_{CC}$  $\Rightarrow$  Resonances adiabatic even for smaller  $\theta_{13}$
- Earth matter effects absent even for extremely small  $\theta_{13}$
- Shock wave effects at a much later time: hard to observe
- Observation of Earth matter effects / shock wave effects will put much stronger bounds on  $\alpha$  (for  $R_{LR} \ll R_{gal}$ )



•  $L_e - L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)

- $L_e L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)
- Limits on the coupling from astronomical and tabletop gravity experiments:  $\alpha \leq 10^{-50}$

- $L_e L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)
- Limits on the coupling from astronomical and tabletop gravity experiments:  $\alpha \leq 10^{-50}$
- Even with such stringent limits,  $\nu$  oscillations affected

- $L_e L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)
- Limits on the coupling from astronomical and tabletop gravity experiments:  $\alpha \leq 10^{-50}$
- Even with such stringent limits,  $\nu$  oscillations affected
- Atmospheric and solar neutrino data  $\Rightarrow \alpha_{e\beta} \lesssim 10^{-53}$  for  $R_{LR} \ll R_{gal}$

- $L_e L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)
- Limits on the coupling from astronomical and tabletop gravity experiments:  $\alpha \leq 10^{-50}$
- Even with such stringent limits,  $\nu$  oscillations affected
- Atmospheric and solar neutrino data  $\Rightarrow \alpha_{e\beta} \lesssim 10^{-53}$  for  $R_{LR} \ll R_{gal}$
- Depending on the galactic contribution, one may reach  $\alpha_{e\beta} \lesssim 10^{-55}$

- $L_e L_{\mu,\tau}$  gauge symmetries: minimal extensions of SM that are anomaly free (renormalizable)
- Limits on the coupling from astronomical and tabletop gravity experiments:  $\alpha \leq 10^{-50}$
- Even with such stringent limits,  $\nu$  oscillations affected
- Atmospheric and solar neutrino data  $\Rightarrow \alpha_{e\beta} \lesssim 10^{-53}$  for  $R_{LR} \ll R_{gal}$
- Depending on the galactic contribution, one may reach  $\alpha_{e\beta} \lesssim 10^{-55}$
- Neutrino burst from SN may improve the constraints if  $R_{LR} \ll R_{\rm gal}$