# Aspects of Dirac Physics in Graphene

# K. Sengupta

## Indian Association for the Cultivation of Sciences, Kolkata



### Overview

- 1. Origin of Dirac physics in graphene
- 2. Superconducting junctions
- 3. Physics of graphene junctions
- 4. Kondo physics and STM spectroscopy in graphene
- 5. Conclusion

**Origin of Dirac physics in graphene** 

### Relevant Basics about graphene



Honeycomb lattice

Tight binding model for graphene with nearest neighbor hopping.

Can in principle include next-nearest neighbor hopping: same low energy physics. Ref: arXiv:0709.1163



Each unit cell has two electrons from  $2p_z$  orbital leading to delocalized  $\Box$  bond.

$$H = \int d^2 x \, \psi^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \widehat{t} \\ \widehat{t}^* & 0 \end{array} \right) \, \psi$$

$$\psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B)$$



K

Diagonalize in momentum space to get the energy dispersion.

$$H = \int d^2k \,\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h(\mathbf{k}) \\ h^*(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\psi(\mathbf{k})$$
$$h(\mathbf{k}) = -t \sum_{j=1}^{3} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\tau_j}$$

Energy dispersion:  $E_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm E(\mathbf{k}) = \pm |h(\mathbf{k})|$ 

There are two energy bands (valence and conduction) corresponding to energies  $\pm E(\mathbf{k})$ 

These two bands touch each other at six points at the edges of the Brillouin zone

Two of these points K and K' are inequivalent; rest are related by translation of a lattice vector.

Two inequivalent Fermi points rather than a Fermi-line.

Dirac cone about the K and K' points



Thus at low energies one can think of a four component wave function for the low-energy quasiparticles (sans spin).

$$\psi = (\psi_A^K, \psi_B^K, \psi_A^{K'}, \psi_B^{K'})$$

Terminology	Pauli matrix	Relevant space
Pseudospin	$\sigma$	2 by 2 matrix associated with two sublattice structure
Valley	au	2 by 2 matrix associated with two BZ points K and K'
Spin	S	2 by 2 matrix associated with the physical spin.

$$\mathcal{H}_a = \int d^2 k \, \psi^{\dagger} \, v_F(\tau_3 \sigma_x k_x + \sigma_y k_y) \, \psi$$

At each valley, we have a massless Dirac eqn. with Dirac matrices replaced by Pauli matrices and c replaced by  $v_{F}$ .

No large k scattering leads to two species of massless Dirac Fermions.

### Helicity associated with Dirac electrons at K and K' points.

Solution of H<sub>a</sub> about K point:

$$\psi_K \simeq \left(1, \pm e^{i\gamma}
ight)$$
  
 $\gamma = an^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$ 

Electrons with E>0 around K point have their pseudospin along k where pseudospin refers to A-B space. For K', pseudospin points opposite to k.





 $E_F$  can be tuned by an external gate voltage.

DOS varies linearly with E for undoped graphene but is almost a constant at large doping.  $\rho(E) \sim |E - E_F|$ 

Within RG, interactions are (marginally) irrelevant.

### Dirac nature II: Potential barriers in graphene



$$T = |t|^{2} = \frac{4\chi^{2}k^{2}}{4\chi^{2}k^{2} + (k^{2} + \chi^{2})^{2}\sinh^{2}(\chi)}$$
  
where

Answer:

 $\chi = rac{2(V_0-E)d}{\hbar v_d}$ ,  $v_d = \hbar/md$ ,  $k^2 = 2md^2 E/\hbar^2$ 

Basic point: For  $V_0 >> E$ , T a monotonically decreasing function of the dimensionless barrier strength.

Simple QM 102: A 2D massless Dirac electron in a potential barrier



Basic point: T is an oscillatory function of the dimensionless barrier strength. Qualitatively different physics from that of the Schrödinger electrons.

Katnelson et al. Nature Physics (2006).

**Conventional Superconducting junctions** 

Superconductivity and tunnel junctions



Basic mechanism of current flow in a N-I-S junction

Andreev reflection is strongly suppressed in conventional junctions if the insulating layer provides a large potential barrier: so called tunneling limit

In conventional junctions, subgap tunneling conductance is a monotonically decreasing function of the effective barrier strength Z.

Zero bias tunneling condutance decays as  $1/(1+2z^2)^2$  with increasing barrier strength.



2e charge transfer



BTK, PRB, 25 4515 (1982)

### Josephson Effect



Experiments: Josephson junctions [Likharev, RMP 1979]





S-N-S junctions or weak links

S-B-S or tunnel junctions

### Josephson effect in conventional tunnel junctions



Formation of localized subgap Andreev bound states at the barrier with energy dispersion which depends on the phase difference of the superconductors.

$$E_{\pm} = \pm \Delta_0 \sqrt{1 - T \sin^2(\phi/2)},$$
  
 $T = 4/(4 + Z^2),$   
Z is the dimensionless barrier strength.

The primary contribution to Josephson current comes from these bound states.

$$I = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \sum_{n=\pm} \sum_{k_{\parallel}} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \phi} f(E_n/k_B T_0)$$

Kulik-Omelyanchuk limit:

 $T 
ightarrow 1 \quad I(T_0 = 0) \sim |\sin(\phi/2)|$  $I_c R_N = \pi \Delta_0/e$  Ambegaokar-Baratoff limit:

$$T \rightarrow 0$$
  $I(T_0 = 0) \sim T \sin(\phi)$   
 $I_c R_N = \pi \Delta_0 / 2e$ 

Both  $I_c$  and  $I_cR_N$  monotonically decrease as we go from KO to AB limit.

### **Graphene Junctions**

### **Graphene N-B-S junctions**



### Dirac-Bogoliubov-de Gennes (DBdG) Equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_a - E_F + U(\mathbf{r}) & \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \\ \Delta^*(\mathbf{r}) & E_F - U(\mathbf{r}) - \mathcal{H}_a \end{pmatrix} \psi_a = E \psi_a.$$

 $E_F \longrightarrow$  Fermi energy  $U(r) \longrightarrow$  Applied Potential = V<sub>g</sub> for 0>x>-d  $\Box r \longrightarrow$  Superconducting pair-potential between electrons and holes at K and K' points Question: How would the tunneling conductance of such a junction behave as a function of the gate voltage? Results in the thin barrier limit

Central Result: In complete contrast to conventional NBS junction, Graphene NBS junctions, due to the presence of Dirac-like dispersion of its electrons, exhibit novel 
periodic oscillatory behavior of subgap tunneling conductance as the barrier strength is varied.



periodic oscillations of subgap
 tunneling conductance as a function of barrier strength



Tunneling conductance maxima occur at  $\Box = (n+1/2)\Box$ 

Transmission resonance condition

Maxima of conductance occur when r=0.

$$G = G_0 \int_0^{k_F} \frac{dk_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \left( 1 - |r|^2 + |r_A|^2 \right)$$

For subgap voltages, in the thin barrier limit, and for  $eV \ll E_F$ , it turns out that

$$r \sim \sin(\gamma) \cos(\chi) \sin(\beta)$$

where  $\cos(\beta) = eV/\Delta_0$  and  $\gamma = \sin^{-1}[\hbar v_F k_{\parallel}/(eV + E_F)]$ is the angle of incidence



- 1. =0: Manifestation of Klein Paradox. Not seen in tunneling conductance due to averaging over transverse momenta.
  - I =0: Maxima of tunneling conductance at the gap edge: also seen in conventional NBS junctions.
  - 3. □=(n+1/2)□ □ Novel transmission resonance condition for graphene NBS junction.



However, the maximum value of G may be lesser than the Andreev limit value of  $2G_0$ 

### **Graphene S-B-S junctions**

### Schematic Setup



subgap states and hence find the

Josephson current.



DBdG quasiparticles has a transmission probability T which is an oscillatory function of the barrier strength []

2 - 2 0 2 f  $I(\phi, \chi, T_0) = I_0 g(\phi, \chi, T_0)$  $g(\phi, \chi, T_0) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\gamma \left[ \frac{T(\gamma, \chi) \cos(\gamma) \sin(\phi)}{\sqrt{1 - T(\gamma, \chi) \sin^2(\phi/2)}} \right]$  $\times \tanh\left(\epsilon_{+}/2k_{B}T_{0}\right)$ 

The Josephson current is an oscillatory function of the barrier strength  $\vec{l}$ 



I<sub>c</sub> and I<sub>c</sub>R<sub>N</sub> are [] periodic bounded oscillatory functions of the effective barrier strength

 $I_cR_N$  is bounded with values between  $\Box \Box_0/e$  for  $\Box = n\Box$  and  $2.27\Box_0/e$  for  $\Box = (n+1/2)\Box$ .

For $\Box = n \Box$ , $I_c R_N$ reaches $\Box \Box_0/e$ : Kulik-Omelyanchuk limit.	Due to transmission resonance of DBdG quasiparticles, it is not possible to make T arbitrarily small by increasing gate voltage V <sub>0</sub> . Thus, these junctions never reach
	Ambegaokar-Baratoff limit.

### Kondo Physics and STM spectroscopy

### Kondo effect in conventional systems



Formation of a many-body correlated state below a crossover temperature  $T_{\kappa}$ , where the impurity spin is screened by the conduction electrons.

### Features of Kondo effect:

1. Appropriately described by the Kondo model:

Metal + Magnetic Impurity

- The coupling J, in the RG sense, grows at low T and becomes weak at high T. Negative beta function. Anderson J. Phys. C 3, 2436–2441 (1970).
- 3. For two or more channels of conduction electrons (multichannel) the resultatnt ground state is a non-Fermi liquid. For a single channel, the ground state is still a Fermi liquid.

 $H = H_0 + J\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s}(0)$ 

 $\beta(J) = -\rho(E_F)J^2$  $T_K = D \exp(-1/\rho(E_F)J)$ 

- 4. All the results depend crucially on the existence of constant DOS at  $E_F$
- 5. Kondo state leads to a peak in the conductance at zero bias. as measured by STM.

### What's different for possible Kondo effect in graphene



Also, two species of electrons from K and K' points may act as two channels if the impurity radius is large enough so that large-momenta scatterings are suppressed.



*Possibility of two-channel Kondo effect in graphene.* 

Theoretical prediction: Sengupta and Baskaran PRB (2007)

### **Recent STM experiments on doped graphene**



a 0 0 Height (pm) 215 2 nm

Adding Cobalt impurity in graphene

Constant current STM topography of pure graphene (100 nm<sup>2</sup> I=40pA)

*Typical parameters: E*<sub>*F*</sub>=250meV and T=4K.

There is no experimental control over the position of these cobalt atoms.

The position of these atoms can be accurately determined by STM topography

L. Mattos, et al, (unpublished)

#### Two channel Kondo physics: Impurity at hexagon center



Observation of Kondo peak in doped graphene sample With  $T_{\kappa}$ =16K



**Proof of two-channel character of the Kondo state: non-Fermi liquid ground state in graphene.** 

**Bimodal Spectra for the Conductance G** 



Impurity at the center: peaked structure of G and 2CK effect

Impurity on site: dip structure of G and 1CK effect

No analog in conventional Kondo systems: property of Dirac electrons

### Theory of STM spectra in graphene



### Model Hamiltonians for Graphene, Impurity and STM tip

$$H_{G} = \int_{k} \psi_{s}^{\beta\dagger}(\vec{k}) \left[ \hbar v_{F}(\tau_{z}\sigma_{x}k_{x} + \sigma_{y}k_{y}) - E_{F}I \right] \psi_{s}^{\beta}(\vec{k})$$

$$H_{d} = \sum_{s=\uparrow,\downarrow} \epsilon_{d}d_{s}^{\dagger}d_{s} + Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow}$$

$$H_{t} = \sum_{\nu} \left[ \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow\downarrow} \epsilon_{t\nu}t_{\nu s}^{\dagger}t_{\nu s} + (\Delta_{0}t_{\nu\uparrow}^{\dagger}t_{-\nu\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c}) \right] \quad (2)$$

### **Tunneling current**

Interaction between the tip, impurity and graphene: Anderson model

$$H_{Gd} = \sum_{\alpha=A,B} \int_{k} \left( V_{\alpha}^{0}(\vec{k}) c_{\alpha,s}^{\beta}(\vec{k}) d_{s}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$
$$H_{dt} = \sum_{s=\uparrow,\downarrow;\nu} \left( W_{\nu}^{0} t_{\nu s} d_{s}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right).$$
$$H_{Gt} = \sum_{\alpha=A,B;\nu} \int_{k} \left( U_{\alpha;\nu}^{0}(\vec{k}) c_{\alpha,s}^{\beta}(\vec{k}) t_{\nu s}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

*Tunneling current is derived from the rate of change of number of tip electrons* 

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = e \langle dN_t / dt \rangle = i e \langle [H, N_t] \rangle / \hbar$$

Obtain an expression for the current using Keldysh perturbation theory

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\beta\,(1)\,<}_{s,\alpha;\nu}(t;\vec{k}) &= -i\langle t^{\dagger}_{\nu}(t)c^{\beta}_{s\alpha}(0;\vec{k})\rangle \\ G^{\beta\,(1)\,<}_{\nu;s,\alpha}(t;\vec{k}) &= -i\langle c^{\beta\,\dagger}_{s\alpha}(t;\vec{k})t_{\nu}(0)\rangle \\ \mathcal{G}^{(2)\,<}_{\mu\nu}(t) &= -i\langle t^{\dagger}_{\nu}(t)d_{\mu}(0)\rangle \\ \mathcal{G}^{(2)\,<}_{\nu\mu}(t) &= -i\langle d^{\dagger}_{\mu}(t)t_{\nu}(0)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$I(t) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \left[ \sum_{\mu\nu} \left( W^*_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{(2)<}_{\mu\nu}(t) - W_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{(2)<}_{\nu\mu}(t) \right) + \int_k \sum_{s\alpha\beta\nu} \left( U^*_{\alpha,s;\nu}(\vec{k}) G^{\beta(1)<}_{s,\alpha;\nu}(t;\vec{k}) - U_{\alpha,s;\nu}(\vec{k}) G^{\beta(1)<}_{\nu;s,\alpha}(t;\vec{k}) \right) \right]$$



### What determines U<sup>o</sup>



Impurity on hexagon center

$$\begin{split} & \overbrace{5}^{4} \overbrace{6}^{2} \\ & \overbrace{5}^{5} \overbrace{6}^{1} \\ \\ & \sum_{R=1,3,5} \Psi^{\beta}_{A,s}(\vec{R},z) \ = \ \sum_{R=2,4,6} \Psi^{\beta}_{B,s}(\vec{R},z) = 0. \end{split}$$

U<sup>o</sup> becomes small leading to large q.

 $q(\epsilon) = [W^0/U^0 + V^0 I_1(\epsilon)]/[V^0 I_2(\epsilon)],$ 

Peaked spectra for all values of  $E_{F}$  independent of the applied voltage

#### Conductance spectra shows a peak for center impurities

### Impurity on Graphene site



Asymmetric position: No cancelation and U<sup>o</sup> remains large

 $q(\epsilon) = [W^0/U^0 + V^0 I_1(\epsilon)] / [V^0 I_2(\epsilon)] \approx I_1/I_2 \simeq -\ln|1 - \Lambda^2/(eV + E_F)^2| / \pi.$ 

G should exhibit a change from peak to a dip through an anti-resonance with change of  $E_{F}$ 



*Impurity on Graphene site* 

Should be observed on surfaces of topological insulators

### Conclusion

- 1. The field of graphene has shown unprecedented progress over the last few years. First example of so called "Dirac materials".
- 2. Several interesting physics phenomenon:
  - a) Dirac physics on a tabletop.
  - b) Unconventional Kondo physics
  - c) STM spectroscopy with Dirac fermions.
- 3. Potential applications in engineering:
  - a) Detection of gas molecules with great precisionb) Possibility of nanoscale room temperature transistors.
- 4. Future:
  - a) Controlled sample preparation and better lithography.
  - b) More strongly correlated phenomenon such as FQHE.
  - c) Graphene based electronics: future direction of nanotechnology?