

The fuzzy S^2 structure of M2-M5 systems in ABJM

Costis Papageorgakis

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research

String Theory and Fundamental Physics, Kanha

12 February 2009



(w/ H. Nastase and S. Ramgoolam, in progress)

Important developments over last year relating to effective action for multiple **M2-branes**. Following leads from **Bagger-Lambert** and **Gustavsson** involving **3-algebras**, **ABJM** wrote a CS action in 3d with $U(N) \times U(\bar{N})$ gauge fields, coupled to bi-fundamental matter **[Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena]**

$$S = \int d^3x \left[\frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda - \hat{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3} \hat{A}_\mu \hat{A}_\nu \hat{A}_\lambda \right) \right. \\ \left. - \text{Tr} D_\mu C_I^\dagger D^\mu C^I + \frac{4\pi^2}{3k^2} \text{Tr} \left(C^I C_I^\dagger C^J C_J^\dagger C^K C_K^\dagger + C_I^\dagger C^I C_J^\dagger C^J C_K^\dagger C^K \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 4C^I C_J^\dagger C^K C_I^\dagger C^J C_K^\dagger - 6C^I C_J^\dagger C^J C_I^\dagger C^K C_K^\dagger \right) \right]$$

- $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal, **SU(4)** R-symmetry
- Can use $\lambda = N/k$ as 't Hooft coupling

- For $\lambda \ll 1$ gauge theory weakly coupled
- For $\lambda \gg 1$ string theory dual in terms of near horizon limit of N M2 branes on a $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_k$ singularity.
- For small k M-theory on $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$
- The orbifold acts on the circle of the Hopf fibration

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow S^7 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{CP}^3$$
- For large k Type IIA on $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \rightarrow (\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3)$

Even though **M2-brane** physics emerge at **strong** coupling this is significant progress!

But what about the M5-brane?

M5-brane potentially emerges from M2-brane in several ways through a generalisation of the Myers effect:

- Via an $M2 \perp M5$ intersection or ‘fuzzy funnel’ (see Blon)
[Basu-Harvey]
- As a vacuum of a mass-deformed theory of M2s (see $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$)
[Bena]

Both require that M2s blow up into a fuzzy three-sphere with the matriceal scalars becoming fuzzy directions on M5

Interesting mass-deformation of **ABJM** found by **GRvV**: Split complex scalars into $C^I = (R^\alpha, Q^{\dot{\alpha}})$ and introduce potential
[Gomis-Rodríguez-Gómez-van Raamsdonk-Verlinde, Hosomichi-Lee³-Park]

$$V = |M^\alpha|^2 + |N^\alpha|^2$$

where

$$M^\alpha = \mu Q^\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{k} (2Q^{[\alpha} Q_\beta^\dagger Q^{\beta]} + R^\beta R_\beta^\dagger Q^\alpha - Q^\alpha R_\beta^\dagger R^\beta + 2Q^\beta R_\beta^\dagger R^\alpha)$$

$$N^\alpha = -\mu R^\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{k} (2R^{[\alpha} R_\beta^\dagger R^{\beta]} + Q^\beta Q_\beta^\dagger R^\alpha - R^\alpha Q_\beta^\dagger Q^\beta + 2R^\beta Q_\beta^\dagger Q^\alpha)$$

- Breaks conformal invariance and R-symmetry
 $SU(4) \rightarrow SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- Preserves $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry

GRvV also found set of classical 1/2-BPS vacua for $Q^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$. Need to solve

$$R^\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{k\mu} (R^\alpha R^\dagger_\beta R^\beta - R^\beta R^\dagger_\beta R^\alpha)$$

which leads to

$$R^\alpha = f G^\alpha \quad \text{for} \quad f^2 = \frac{k\mu}{2\pi}$$

where

$$(G^1)_{m,n} = \sqrt{m-1} \delta_{m,n}$$

$$(G^2)_{m,n} = \sqrt{(N-m)} \delta_{m+1,n}$$

$$(G^\dagger_1)_{m,n} = \sqrt{m-1} \delta_{m,n}$$

$$(G^\dagger_2)_{m,n} = \sqrt{(N-n)} \delta_{n+1,m}$$

(for $f^2 = \frac{k}{2\pi s}$ the above is ‘funnel’ solution, where s the direction along which M2s extend away from the M5)

The G^α s should encode all information about **geometry**. GRvV also notice that

$$G^\alpha G_\alpha^\dagger = X^1 X^1 + X^2 X^2 + X^3 X^3 + X^4 X^4 = N - 1$$

Looks like S^3 . Extrapolating to $k = 1$ seem to get the **M5-brane**. At finite N the ‘three-sphere’ is fuzzy.

[Terashima, Hanaki-Lin]

However, the solution has $G^1 = G_1^\dagger$. Immediately reduces

$$G^\alpha G_\alpha^\dagger = X^1 X^1 + X^2 X^2 + X^3 X^3 = N - 1$$

Q: **where is the (fuzzy) S^3 ?** [Nastase, CP, Ramgoolam]

Immediately note that 'usual' $\text{SO}(4)$ -covariant fuzzy S^3 of Guralnik-Ramgoolam cannot be at work: solution has only got $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$ symmetry.

Investigate algebra of G^α s: First construct bilinears $J_\beta^\alpha = G^\alpha G_\beta^\dagger$. These obey

$$[J_\beta^\alpha, J_\nu^\mu] = \delta_\beta^\mu J_\nu^\alpha - \delta_\nu^\alpha J_\beta^\mu$$

Further defining $J_i = (\sigma_i)_\beta^\alpha J_\alpha^\beta$ picks out traceless combinations and leads to

$$[J_i, J_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

Similar things hold for $\bar{J}_\beta^\alpha = G_\beta^\dagger G^\alpha$

$$[\bar{J}_i, \bar{J}_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\bar{J}_k$$

Looks like there are two **independent** SU(2)s.

Matrices in the **adjoint** of U(N) (U(\bar{N})) admit a decomposition in terms of J_i s (\bar{J}_i s). This is an expansion in terms of **fuzzy spherical harmonics**

$$\hat{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm}(J_i)$$

with

$$\hat{Y}_{lm}(J_i) = \sum_i \alpha_{lm}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)} J_{i_1} \dots J_{i_l}$$

However, the algebra for odd products of G^α or G^\dagger_α will combine the two. Define

$$\mathbf{G}^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G^\alpha \\ G^\dagger_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J}_i = \begin{pmatrix} J_i & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{J}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we can summarise

$$[\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{G}^\alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_i G^\alpha - G^\alpha \bar{J}_i \\ \bar{J}_i G^\dagger_\alpha - G^\dagger_\alpha J_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\sigma_i)^\alpha_\beta G^\beta \\ G^\dagger_\beta (\sigma_i)^\beta_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The \mathbf{G}^α s have good transformations under **combined** SU(2).

The **bifundamental** fields also admit **harmonic decomposition** under the SU(2)

$$R^\alpha = R^\alpha_\beta G^\beta \quad \text{with} \quad R^\alpha_\beta = \sum_{l,m} (a_{lm})^\alpha_\beta \hat{Y}_{lm}(J_i)$$

Next look at quadratic fluctuation spectrum around GRvV vacuum

$$R^\alpha = fG^\alpha + r^\alpha, \quad Q^{\dot{\alpha}} = q^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad A_\mu = a_\mu, \quad \hat{A}_\mu = \hat{a}_\mu$$

The r^α further decompose into trace and traceless parts

$$r^\alpha = rG^\alpha + s_i \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_i)^\alpha_\beta G^\beta, \quad r^\dagger_\alpha = G^\dagger_\alpha r + G^\dagger_\beta s_i \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_i)^\beta_\alpha$$

Use Matrix Theory technology to convert into fields on smooth (classical) S^2 at large N .

[Iso-Kimura-Tanaka-Wakatsuki, CP-Ramgoolam-Toumbas]

There is a standard dictionary between **matrices** on the fuzzy sphere at **large N** and **functions** on the sphere

$$\hat{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm}(J_i) \rightarrow a(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l a_{lm} Y_{lm}(x_i)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \rightarrow \int d\Omega$$

$$\frac{J_i}{N} \rightarrow x_i$$

$$[J_i, \hat{a}] \rightarrow -2iK_i^a \partial_a a(\theta, \phi)$$

At **large N** the mode s_i can be further decomposed into radial and angular components on S^2

$$s_i = x_i \phi + K_i^a A_a$$

Expanding around the GRvV solution also triggers version of Higgs mechanism for gauge fields, present in ABJM (CS-matter) theories.

When a single scalar gets a large, trivial vev the diagonal subgroup of the two non-dynamical gauge fields becomes dynamical. Matter gets promoted from bifundamental to adjoint. [Mukhi-CP]

In M-theory corresponds to moving all M2-branes far away from the $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_k$ singularity.

However, for the case at hand things significantly more complicated: four fields get a vev and that has nontrivial matrix structure (proportional to G^α)

To cut a (very) long story short:

- The calculation involves combination of **Matrix Theory** techniques + glorified **Higgsing**
- Through the above: $(r, s_i) \rightarrow (r + \phi, A_a)$
- Non-dynamical (A_μ, \hat{A}_μ) become a dynamical $\tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \hat{A}_\mu$
- The combination $(r - \phi)$ plays role of **Goldstone** mode and does not appear
- Still going but appears inevitable that one will recover part of the **abelian** theory of a single **D4** brane wrapping an S^2

Thus:

- D4-brane - no M5-brane! Since calculation is at weak coupling this makes sense
- However, S^2 structure is now explicit

How does this tally with initial geometric description in terms of G^α s?

- Relation between J_i and G^α is precisely the Hopf map associated with fibration $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2$
- The D4 is wrapping the S^2 base of the above bundle. At large N this base is smooth. At finite N it becomes fuzzy.
- At weak 't Hooft coupling (large k) the S^1 fibre has shrunk

Summary & To do:

- Classical **GRvV** solutions do not describe (fuzzy) S^3 ; instead describe S^2 base of **Hopf fibration**
- If **M5-brane** is to emerge at small k , as expected, **finite- k** effects should modify the G^α , even though solution is **BPS**
- Starting from **M5** wrapped on S^3 the **D4** on S^2 is obtained by a double-dimensional reduction
- In terms of **ABJM** geometry the shrinking of the S^1 fibre should be related to particular action of \mathbb{Z}_k **orbifold**
- Would be interesting to make this more precise