Stringent constraints on the πK scalar form factor from chiral symmetry, analyticity and dispersion relations

B. Ananthanarayan

Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

> Strong Interactions in the 21st Century February 10-12, 2010

> > SI 21 – Feb. 10-12, 2010 – p.1/35



The process and definitions

The process and definitions

Status of experimental information and inputs

The process and definitions

Status of experimental information and inputs

QCD correlators and dispersion relations

The process and definitions Status of experimental information and inputs QCD correlators and dispersion relations Conformal methods and constraints

The process and definitions Status of experimental information and inputs QCD correlators and dispersion relations Conformal methods and constraints Results and discussion

The process and definitions

Status of experimental information and inputs

QCD correlators and dispersion relations

Conformal methods and constraints

Results and discussion

Based on the papers:

Gauhar Abbas and BA, European PHysical Journal A 41 (2009) 7.

Gauhar Abbas, BA, I. Caprini, I. Sentitemsu Imsong and S. Ramanan, arXiv:0912.2831v1.

Gauhar Abbas, BA, I. Caprini, I. Sentitemsu Imsong and S. Ramanan, in preparation.

Process and Definitions

The semi-leptonic decays are the 4 processes $K \to \pi l \nu_l$ (and $\tau \to \pi K \nu_{\tau}$).

Process and Definitions

The semi-leptonic decays are the 4 processes $K \to \pi l \nu_l$ (and $\tau \to \pi K \nu_{\tau}$). The matrix element for K_{l3}^+ has the structure:

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{us}^* l^{\mu} F_{\mu}^+(p', p)$$
$$l^{\mu} = \overline{u}(p_{\nu}) \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_l)$$
$$F^+(p', p)_{\mu} = \langle \pi^0(p') | \overline{s} \gamma_{\mu} u | K^+(p) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ((p' + p)_{\mu} f_+(t) + (p - p')_{\mu} f_-(t))$$

The semi-leptonic decays are the 4 processes $K \to \pi l \nu_l$ (and $\tau \to \pi K \nu_{\tau}$). The matrix element for K_{l3}^+ has the structure:

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{us}^* l^\mu F_\mu^+(p', p)$$
$$l^\mu = \overline{u}(p_\nu) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_l)$$
$$F^+(p', p)_\mu = \langle \pi^0(p') | \overline{s} \gamma_\mu u | K^+(p) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ((p'+p)_\mu f_+(t) + (p-p')_\mu f_-(t))$$

Neutral $F^0_{\mu}(p',p)$ defined without the $1/\sqrt{2}$ Recent review for isospin violation, A. Kastner and H. Neufeld, European Physical Journal C57 (2008) 541. The semi-leptonic decays are the 4 processes $K \to \pi l \nu_l$ (and $\tau \to \pi K \nu_{\tau}$). The matrix element for K_{l3}^+ has the structure:

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{us}^* l^{\mu} F_{\mu}^+(p', p)$$
$$l^{\mu} = \overline{u}(p_{\nu}) \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_l)$$
$$F^+(p', p)_{\mu} = \langle \pi^0(p') | \overline{s} \gamma_{\mu} u | K^+(p) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ((p'+p)_{\mu} f_+(t) + (p-p')_{\mu} f_-(t)) \rangle$$

Neutral $F^0_{\mu}(p',p)$ defined without the $1/\sqrt{2}$ Recent review for isospin violation, A. Kastner and H. Neufeld, European Physical Journal C57 (2008) 541.

 $f_+(t), t = (p'-p)^2$ is known as the vector form factor as it is the P-wave projection of the crossed channel matrix element $\langle 0|\overline{s}\gamma_{\mu}u|K^+\pi^0, \mathrm{in}\rangle$.

The scalar form factor

$$f_0(t) = f_+(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} f_-(t)$$

is the analogous S-wave projection

The scalar form factor

$$f_0(t) = f_+(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} f_-(t)$$

is the analogous S-wave projection

The physical region is $m_{\mu}^2 \leq t \leq (M_K - M_{\pi})^2$ where the form factor is real

The scalar form factor

$$f_0(t) = f_+(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} f_-(t)$$

is the analogous S-wave projection

The physical region is $m_{\mu}^2 \leq t \leq (M_K - M_{\pi})^2$ where the form factor is real

Consider the expansion about t = 0

$$f_0(t) = f_+(0) \left(1 + \lambda'_0 \frac{t}{M_\pi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_0 \frac{t^2}{M_\pi^4} + \cdots \right),$$

 $\lambda'_0 = M_\pi^2 \langle r_{\pi K}^2 \rangle / 6$, $\lambda''_0 = 2M_\pi^4 c$ are related to the radius $\langle r_{\pi K}^2 \rangle$ and curvature c used alternatively in the literature.

The scalar form factor

$$f_0(t) = f_+(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} f_-(t)$$

is the analogous S-wave projection

The physical region is $m_{\mu}^2 \leq t \leq (M_K - M_{\pi})^2$ where the form factor is real

Consider the expansion about t = 0

$$f_0(t) = f_+(0) \left(1 + \lambda'_0 \frac{t}{M_\pi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_0 \frac{t^2}{M_\pi^4} + \cdots \right),$$

 $\lambda'_0 = M_\pi^2 \langle r_{\pi K}^2 \rangle / 6$, $\lambda''_0 = 2M_\pi^4 c$ are related to the radius $\langle r_{\pi K}^2 \rangle$ and curvature c used alternatively in the literature.

Analogously defined the vector form factor.

The value $f_+(0)$ comes from theory.

The value $f_+(0)$ comes from theory.

Chiral theorems for the scalar form factors which are related to F_{π}/F_{K} .

The value $f_+(0)$ comes from theory.

Chiral theorems for the scalar form factors which are related to F_{π}/F_{K} .

The slope and curvature parameters are determined from fitting to Dalitz plot distributions. Detailed discussion on experiments will be presented.

The value $f_+(0)$ comes from theory.

Chiral theorems for the scalar form factors which are related to F_{π}/F_{K} . The slope and curvature parameters are determined from fitting to Dalitz plot distributions. Detailed discussion on experiments will be presented.

More recently from τ decays. BELLE has fitted them with resonances in the time-like region on the unitarity cut.

The value $f_+(0)$ comes from theory.

Chiral theorems for the scalar form factors which are related to F_{π}/F_{K} .

The slope and curvature parameters are determined from fitting to Dalitz plot distributions. Detailed discussion on experiments will be presented.

More recently from τ decays. BELLE has fitted them with resonances in the time-like region on the unitarity cut.

Solutions of Muskelishvili-Omnès equations for form factors using phase shift information and some additional inputs to self- consistently generate them.

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

Corrections to the relation due to SU(3) breaking. Expected to depart by $\sim 20\%$.

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

Corrections to the relation due to SU(3) breaking. Expected to depart by $\sim 20\%$.

Even smaller due to Ademollo-Gatto theorem.

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

Corrections to the relation due to SU(3) breaking. Expected to depart by $\sim 20\%$.

Even smaller due to Ademollo-Gatto theorem.

Crucial for knowledge of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix as the combination $f_+(0)V_{us}$ appears in the expression for rates and Dalitz plot densities.

$f_+(0)$

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

Corrections to the relation due to SU(3) breaking. Expected to depart by $\sim 20\%$.

Even smaller due to Ademollo-Gatto theorem.

Crucial for knowledge of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix as the combination $f_+(0)V_{us}$ appears in the expression for rates and Dalitz plot densities.

Crucial work by H. Leutwyler and M. Roos, Zeitschrift für Physik, C25 (1984) 91.

$f_+(0)$

 $f_+(0) = 1$ in the limit of $m_d = m_u = m_s = 0$ (SU(3) limit where all the eight pseudoscalars are Goldstone particles).

Corrections to the relation due to SU(3) breaking. Expected to depart by $\sim 20\%$.

Even smaller due to Ademollo-Gatto theorem.

Crucial for knowledge of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix as the combination $f_+(0)V_{us}$ appears in the expression for rates and Dalitz plot densities.

Crucial work by H. Leutwyler and M. Roos, Zeitschrift für Physik, C25 (1984) 91.

Recent determinations from the lattice, e.g., RBC+UKQCD collaboration [P. A. Boyle et al., Physical Review Letters 100 (2008) 141601] gives $f_+(0) = 0.964(5)$. They use 2+1 flavour of dynamical wall quarks.

A soft-pion theorem due to Callan and Treiman (C. G. Callan and S. B. Treiman, Physical Review Letters 16 (1966) 153) says

$$f_0(M_K^2 - M_{\pi}^2) = F_K / F_{\pi} + \Delta_{CT}$$

 $\Delta_{CT} \simeq 0$ to two-loops in chiral perturbation theory (J. Bijnens and P. Talavera, Nuclear Physics B 669 (2003) 341.) This point called CT_1 is above the end-point of the K_{l3} but is in the analyticity part of the timelike region.

A soft-pion theorem due to Callan and Treiman (C. G. Callan and S. B. Treiman, Physical Review Letters 16 (1966) 153) says

$$f_0(M_K^2 - M_{\pi}^2) = F_K / F_{\pi} + \Delta_{CT}$$

 $\Delta_{CT} \simeq 0$ to two-loops in chiral perturbation theory (J. Bijnens and P. Talavera, Nuclear Physics B 669 (2003) 341.) This point called CT is above the and point of the K but is in the

This point called CT_1 is above the end-point of the K_{l3} but is in the analyticity part of the timelike region.

Knowledge of F_K/F_{π} at high precision is therefore crucial.

A soft-kaon theorem due to Oehme (R. Oehme, Physical Review Letters 16 (1966) 215) says

$$f_0(M_\pi^2 - M_K^2) = F_\pi / F_K + \overline{\Delta}_{CT}$$

 $\overline{\Delta}_{CT} = 0.03$ is one-loop in chiral perturbation theory (J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nuclear Physics B250 (1985) 517). This point known as CT_2 is in the spacelike region.

No such relations for vector form factor.

No such relations for vector form factor.

As a result, scalar form factor much better suited to theoretical analysis.

No such relations for vector form factor.

As a result, scalar form factor much better suited to theoretical analysis.

These relations in the unphysical region will be used by us in the unitarity bound technique.

No such relations for vector form factor.

As a result, scalar form factor much better suited to theoretical analysis.

These relations in the unphysical region will be used by us in the unitarity bound technique.

 $F_K/F_{\pi} = 1.193 \pm 0.006$ according to recent lattice evaluations (see e.g., L. Lellouch, arXiv:0902.4545; see also A. Bazavov et al. [MILC collaboration], arXiv:0910.2966, which uses 2+1 flavor with improved staggered quark action)

No such relations for vector form factor.

As a result, scalar form factor much better suited to theoretical analysis.

These relations in the unphysical region will be used by us in the unitarity bound technique.

 $F_K/F_{\pi} = 1.193 \pm 0.006$ according to recent lattice evaluations (see e.g., L. Lellouch, arXiv:0902.4545; see also A. Bazavov et al. [MILC collaboration], arXiv:0910.2966, which uses 2+1 flavor with improved staggered quark action)

An extremely interesting joint analysis of $f_+(0)$ and F_K/F_{π} is by V. Bernard and E. Passemar, arXiv:0912.3792

Information circa 1994

Important review is the article by J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and J. Gasser in the DAFNE Handbook on Leptonic and Semileptonic Kaon Decays.

Information circa 1994

- Important review is the article by J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and J. Gasser in the DAFNE Handbook on Leptonic and Semileptonic Kaon Decays.
- Charged kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.028 \pm 0.004$ Neutron kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.0016$ (Comment: no information on scalar form factor. Note also that there was no prime on the symbols at that time.)
Information circa 1994

- Important review is the article by J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and J. Gasser in the DAFNE Handbook on Leptonic and Semileptonic Kaon Decays.
- Charged kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.028 \pm 0.004$ Neutron kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.0016$ (Comment: no information on scalar form factor. Note also that there was no prime on the symbols at that time.)
- Neutral kaon decays with muon: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.003$, $\lambda_{0} = 0.019 \pm 0.004$ from SLAC based on 1.6 million K_{L} decays.

Look into the handbook for more comments and status, and also on charged kaon into muon decays.

Information circa 1994

- Important review is the article by J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and J. Gasser in the DAFNE Handbook on Leptonic and Semileptonic Kaon Decays.
- Charged kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.028 \pm 0.004$ Neutron kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.0016$ (Comment: no information on scalar form factor. Note also that there was no prime on the symbols at that time.)
- Neutral kaon decays with muon: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.003$, $\lambda_{0} = 0.019 \pm 0.004$ from SLAC based on 1.6 million K_{L} decays.
- Look into the handbook for more comments and status, and also on charged kaon into muon decays.
- The same article gives at one-loop in chiral perturbation theory $0.031, 0.017 \pm 0.004$ respectively

Information circa 1994

- Important review is the article by J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and J. Gasser in the DAFNE Handbook on Leptonic and Semileptonic Kaon Decays.
- Charged kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.028 \pm 0.004$ Neutron kaon decays with electron: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.0016$ (Comment: no information on scalar form factor. Note also that there was no prime on the symbols at that time.)
- Neutral kaon decays with muon: $\lambda_{+} = 0.030 \pm 0.003$, $\lambda_{0} = 0.019 \pm 0.004$ from SLAC based on 1.6 million K_{L} decays.
- Look into the handbook for more comments and status, and also on charged kaon into muon decays.
- The same article gives at one-loop in chiral perturbation theory $0.031, 0.017 \pm 0.004$ respectively
- (Note: no mention of curvature parameters which was the state of the art at that time)

ISTRA experiment

Experimental setup up at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron U-70. Secondary beam with about 25 GeV protons. Analysis is based on Dalitz plot density.

ISTRA experiment

Experimental setup up at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron U-70. Secondary beam with about 25 GeV protons. Analysis is based on Dalitz plot density.

O. P. Yushchenko et al., Physics Letters B 581 (2004) 31. Charged kaon to muon mode.

$$\lambda_{\pm} = 0.0277 \pm 0.0013 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0009 (\text{syst})$$

 $\lambda_0 = 0.0183 \pm 0.0011 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0006 (\text{syst})$

based on 540,000 events.

(No curvature assumed.)

ISTRA experiment

Experimental setup up at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron U-70. Secondary beam with about 25 GeV protons. Analysis is based on Dalitz plot density.

O. P. Yushchenko et al., Physics Letters B 581 (2004) 31. Charged kaon to muon mode.

$$\lambda_{\pm} = 0.0277 \pm 0.0013 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0009 (\text{syst})$$

 $\lambda_0 = 0.0183 \pm 0.0011 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0006 (\text{syst})$

based on 540,000 events.

(No curvature assumed.)

O. P. Yushchenko et al., Physics Letters B 589 (2004) 111. Charged kaon to electron mode.

Curvature assumed here for vector form factor but scalar slope not reported based on 540,000 events.

KLOE experiment

KLOE detector at DAFNE (e^+e^- collider at 1.02 GeV)

KLOE experiment

KLOE detector at DAFNE $(e^+e^- \text{ collider at } 1.02 \text{ GeV})$

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$ analysis based on about 1.8 million events from 328 pb⁻¹ of data.

KLOE experiment

KLOE detector at DAFNE (e^+e^- collider at 1.02 GeV)

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$ analysis based on about 1.8 million events from 328 pb⁻¹ of data.

F. Ambrosino et al., JHEP 0712 (2007) 105. Report only slope parameters for vector and scalar to be $(25.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(14.0 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$ respectively.

 K_L produced at the 450 GeV SPS proton synchrotron at CERN.

 K_L produced at the 450 GeV SPS proton synchrotron at CERN. A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 602 (2004) 41, electron mode. Do not report slope, but reports $V_{us}f_+(0)$

 K_L produced at the 450 GeV SPS proton synchrotron at CERN. A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 602 (2004) 41, electron mode. Do not report slope, but reports $V_{us}f_+(0)$

A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 647 (2007) 341, muon mode

 K_L produced at the 450 GeV SPS proton synchrotron at CERN. A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 602 (2004) 41, electron mode. Do not report slope, but reports $V_{us}f_+(0)$ A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 647 (2007) 341, muon mode No curvature found for the scalar!

 K_L produced at the 450 GeV SPS proton synchrotron at CERN. A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 602 (2004) 41, electron mode. Do not report slope, but reports $V_{us}f_+(0)$ A. Lai et al., Physics Letters B 647 (2007) 341, muon mode No curvature found for the scalar! Possibly controversial.

KTeV experiment

KTeV experiment at Fermilab. 1.9 million K_L electron and 1.5 million K_L muono decays.

KTeV experiment

KTeV experiment at Fermilab. 1.9 million K_L electron and 1.5 million K_L muono decays.

T. Alexopoulos et al., Physical Review D 70 (2004) 092007 vector slope and curvature to be $(20.64 \pm 1.75) \times 10^{-3}$, $(3.20 \pm 0.69) \times 10^{-3}$ and scalar slope to $(13.72 \pm 1.31) \times 10^{-3}$ respectively.

KTeV experiment

KTeV experiment at Fermilab. 1.9 million K_L electron and 1.5 million K_L muono decays.

T. Alexopoulos et al., Physical Review D 70 (2004) 092007 vector slope and curvature to be $(20.64 \pm 1.75) \times 10^{-3}$, $(3.20 \pm 0.69) \times 10^{-3}$ and scalar slope to $(13.72 \pm 1.31) \times 10^{-3}$ respectively.

New analysis based on dispersive techniques, E. Abouzaid et al., arXiv;0912.1291 takes into account constraints from lattice QCD, resulting in a fit for the form factor at the Callan-Treiman points.

D. Epifanov et al., Physics Letters B 654 (2007) 65 reports measurement of modulus and phase of the $K\pi$ form factors in terms of resonances, based on about 53,000 lepton tagged events.

D. Epifanov et al., Physics Letters B 654 (2007) 65 reports measurement of modulus and phase of the $K\pi$ form factors in terms of resonances, based on about 53,000 lepton tagged events.

Note that the measurement here is in the time-like region on the unitarity cut. Produces an important consistency check.

D. Epifanov et al., Physics Letters B 654 (2007) 65 reports measurement of modulus and phase of the $K\pi$ form factors in terms of resonances, based on about 53,000 lepton tagged events.

Note that the measurement here is in the time-like region on the unitarity cut. Produces an important consistency check.

Mushkelishvili-Omnès study of πK , πK^* , $K\rho$ and use of high statistics LASS experiment phase shifts used to produce the πK vector form factor and compared with BELLE (B. Moussallam, European Physical Journal C 53 (2008) 401)

D. Epifanov et al., Physics Letters B 654 (2007) 65 reports measurement of modulus and phase of the $K\pi$ form factors in terms of resonances, based on about 53,000 lepton tagged events.

Note that the measurement here is in the time-like region on the unitarity cut. Produces an important consistency check.

Mushkelishvili-Omnès study of πK , πK^* , $K\rho$ and use of high statistics LASS experiment phase shifts used to produce the πK vector form factor and compared with BELLE (B. Moussallam, European Physical Journal C 53 (2008) 401)

Series of studies based on these data: M. Jamin et al. Physics Letters B 664 (2008) 78; B 640 (2006) 176

D. R. Boito et al., European Physical Journal C 59 (2009) 821.

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

We use analyticity, dispersion relations and theoretical inputs.

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

We use analyticity, dispersion relations and theoretical inputs.

We use experimental scattering phase shifts determined using Roy-Steiner equations via Watson theorem (the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase shift in the elastic region).

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

We use analyticity, dispersion relations and theoretical inputs.

We use experimental scattering phase shifts determined using Roy-Steiner equations via Watson theorem (the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase shift in the elastic region).

Uses experimental information in such a way as to optimize all available inputs, and the modulus information only to evaluate an integral.

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

We use analyticity, dispersion relations and theoretical inputs.

We use experimental scattering phase shifts determined using Roy-Steiner equations via Watson theorem (the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase shift in the elastic region).

Uses experimental information in such a way as to optimize all available inputs, and the modulus information only to evaluate an integral.

For a guide, we look at the scalar form factor analysis of M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, Nuclear Physics B622 (2002) 279; Physical Review D 74 (2006) 074009.

Our work is motivated by the need to exploit in a complete and optimal way the available information.

We use analyticity, dispersion relations and theoretical inputs.

We use experimental scattering phase shifts determined using Roy-Steiner equations via Watson theorem (the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase shift in the elastic region).

Uses experimental information in such a way as to optimize all available inputs, and the modulus information only to evaluate an integral.

For a guide, we look at the scalar form factor analysis of M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, Nuclear Physics B622 (2002) 279; Physical Review D 74 (2006) 074009.

Our phase and modulus data come from Moussallam, group of Jamin et al., and from BELLE.

QCD correlator
$$\chi_0(Q^2)$$
 - I

Consider the QCD correlator

$$\chi_0(Q^2) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} \left[q^2 \Pi_0 \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_+}^{\infty} dt \, \frac{t \mathrm{Im} \Pi_0(t)}{(t+Q^2)^2} \,,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\Pi_{0}(t) \geq \frac{3}{2} \frac{t_{+}t_{-}}{16\pi} \frac{\left[(t-t_{+})(t-t_{-})\right]^{1/2}}{t^{3}} |f_{0}(t)|^{2},$$

with $t_{\pm} = (M_K \pm M_{\pi})^2$.

QCD correlator
$$\chi_0(Q^2)$$
 - I

Consider the QCD correlator

$$\chi_0(Q^2) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} \left[q^2 \Pi_0 \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_+}^{\infty} dt \, \frac{t \mathrm{Im} \Pi_0(t)}{(t+Q^2)^2} \,,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\Pi_0(t) \ge \frac{3}{2} \frac{t_+ t_-}{16\pi} \frac{\left[(t - t_+)(t - t_-)\right]^{1/2}}{t^3} |f_0(t)|^2 \,,$$

with $t_{\pm} = (M_K \pm M_{\pi})^2$.

Positive definite and can be bounded.

QCD correlator
$$\chi_0(Q^2)$$
 - I

Consider the QCD correlator

$$\chi_0(Q^2) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} \left[q^2 \Pi_0 \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_+}^{\infty} dt \, \frac{t \mathrm{Im} \Pi_0(t)}{(t+Q^2)^2} \,,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\Pi_0(t) \ge \frac{3}{2} \frac{t_+ t_-}{16\pi} \frac{\left[(t - t_+)(t - t_-)\right]^{1/2}}{t^3} |f_0(t)|^2 \,,$$

with $t_{\pm} = (M_K \pm M_{\pi})^2$.

Positive definite and can be bounded.

Bounds can be obtained using analyticity to transform the problem, and to input values of the form factor and its derivatives at t = 0 and/or knowledge at various points in the analyticity region (method of unitarity bounds).

QCD correlator $\chi_0(Q^2)$ - II

On the other hand, in pQCD when $Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, m_q , $\alpha_S \overline{MS}$ scheme.

$$\chi_0(Q^2) = \frac{3(m_s - m_u)^2}{8\pi^2 Q^2} \left[1 + 1.80\alpha_s + 4.65\alpha_s^2 + 15.0\alpha_s^3 + \dots \right].$$

QCD correlator $\chi_0(Q^2)$ - II

On the other hand, in pQCD when $Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, m_q , $\alpha_S \overline{MS}$ scheme.

$$\chi_0(Q^2) = \frac{3(m_s - m_u)^2}{8\pi^2 Q^2} \left[1 + 1.80\alpha_s + 4.65\alpha_s^2 + 15.0\alpha_s^3 + \dots \right].$$

For details, Gauhar Abbas et al, arXiv:0912.2831, C. Bourrely and Irinel Caprini, Nuclear Physics B722 (2005) 149.

QCD correlator $\chi_0(Q^2)$ - II

On the other hand, in pQCD when $Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, m_q , $\alpha_S \overline{MS}$ scheme.

$$\chi_0(Q^2) = \frac{3(m_s - m_u)^2}{8\pi^2 Q^2} \left[1 + 1.80\alpha_s + 4.65\alpha_s^2 + 15.0\alpha_s^3 + \dots \right].$$

For details, Gauhar Abbas et al, arXiv:0912.2831, C. Bourrely and Irinel Caprini, Nuclear Physics B722 (2005) 149.

Reverse problem: to constrain λ'_0 , λ''_0 and $f_0(\Delta_{K\pi})$ and $f_0(\overline{\Delta}_{K\pi})$.

Transforming via Conformal map

$$\frac{z-1}{z+1} = i\sqrt{\frac{t}{t_+} - 1}$$

Transforming via Conformal map



The problem transformed

We can now use the conformal map to transform this to an integral that reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\exp(i\theta))|^2 \le I_{\text{pQCD}}$$

and needs to be bounded.
The problem transformed

We can now use the conformal map to transform this to an integral that reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\exp(i\theta))|^2 \le I_{\text{pQCD}}$$

and needs to be bounded.

This requires the knowledge of the **outer function** associated with the function multiplying $|f_0(t)|^2$ and the Jacobian of the transformation.

The problem transformed

We can now use the conformal map to transform this to an integral that reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\exp(i\theta))|^2 \le I_{\text{pQCD}}$$

and needs to be bounded.

This requires the knowledge of the **outer function** associated with the function multiplying $|f_0(t)|^2$ and the Jacobian of the transformation. For the case at hand:

$$w(z) = \frac{3}{16\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{M_K - M_\pi}{M_K + M_\pi} \sqrt{1 - z} (1 + z)^{3/2} \\ \times \frac{(1 + z(-Q^2))^2}{(1 - z \, z(-Q^2))^2} \frac{(1 - z \, z(t_-))^{1/2}}{(1 + z(t_-))^{1/2}}, \\ h(z) = w(z) f_0(z).$$

Power series: $h(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ [Fourier series with non-negative powers of $e^{i\theta}$]. Guaranteed for such functions.

Power series: $h(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ [Fourier series with non-negative powers of $e^{i\theta}$]. Guaranteed for such functions.

Very important to note that the origin in the complex-t plane is mapped to the origin in the complex-z plane. Expansion in powers of z is related to expansion in powers of t, which is why slope and curvature parameters enter here.

Power series: $h(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ [Fourier series with non-negative powers of $e^{i\theta}$]. Guaranteed for such functions.

Very important to note that the origin in the complex-t plane is mapped to the origin in the complex-z plane. Expansion in powers of z is related to expansion in powers of t, which is why slope and curvature parameters enter here.

Furthermore and significantly, square integrability implies $I = |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 + \dots$ [Parseval theorem]

Power series: $h(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ [Fourier series with non-negative powers of $e^{i\theta}$]. Guaranteed for such functions.

Very important to note that the origin in the complex-t plane is mapped to the origin in the complex-z plane. Expansion in powers of z is related to expansion in powers of t, which is why slope and curvature parameters enter here.

Furthermore and significantly, square integrability implies $I = |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 + \dots$ [Parseval theorem]

Outer function is known and can be expanded in a series in z.

Power series: $h(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ [Fourier series with non-negative powers of $e^{i\theta}$]. Guaranteed for such functions.

Very important to note that the origin in the complex-t plane is mapped to the origin in the complex-z plane. Expansion in powers of z is related to expansion in powers of t, which is why slope and curvature parameters enter here.

Furthermore and significantly, square integrability implies $I = |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 + \dots$ [Parseval theorem]

Outer function is known and can be expanded in a series in z.

If the first n coefficients of the form factor are known, a bound on the quantity of interest is obtained after a finite number of terms.

Some explicit expressions

$$a_0 = h(0) = f_+(0)w(0),$$

Some explicit expressions

$$a_0 = h(0) = f_+(0)w(0),$$

$$a_1 = h'(0) = f_+(0)(w'(0) + \frac{2}{3} \langle r_{\pi \mathbf{K}}^2 \rangle t_+ w(0)),$$

Some explicit expressions

$$a_0 = h(0) = f_+(0)w(0),$$

$$a_1 = h'(0) = f_+(0)(w'(0) + \frac{2}{3} \langle r_{\pi K}^2 \rangle t_+ w(0)),$$

$$a_{2} = \frac{h''(0)}{2!} = \frac{f_{+}(0)}{2} \left[w(0) \left(-\frac{8}{3} \langle r_{\pi K}^{2} \rangle t_{+} + 32 c t_{+}^{2} \right) \right] + \frac{f_{+}(0)}{2} \left[2w'(0) \left(\frac{2}{3} \langle r_{\pi K}^{2} \rangle t_{\pi} \right) + w''(0) \right],$$

Improving the bounds

Improvement of the bound arises if $f_0(t)$ is known for some spacelike values of momenta corresponding to $z = x_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, ...

Improving the bounds

Improvement of the bound arises if $f_0(t)$ is known for some spacelike values of momenta corresponding to $z = x_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, ...

Improve the bound by using imposing constraints using Lagrange multipliers.

Improving the bounds

Improvement of the bound arises if $f_0(t)$ is known for some spacelike values of momenta corresponding to $z = x_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, ...

Improve the bound by using imposing constraints using Lagrange multipliers.

Can also be improved by imposing phase of the form factor for timelike moment in a continuous region, $a \le t \le b$.

Improved bound

Can be extended to arbitrary number of such constraints, and mixed constraints (Meiman problem). The problem solved in generality by A. Raina and V. Singh, Journal of Physics G3 (1977) 315.

Improved bound

Can be extended to arbitrary number of such constraints, and mixed constraints (Meiman problem). The problem solved in generality by A. Raina and V. Singh, Journal of Physics G3 (1977) 315.

The case of two spacelike constraints is one where we solve:

$$\begin{vmatrix} I_{max} & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & J_1 & J_2 \\ a_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 \\ J_1 & 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & (1-x_1^2)^{-1} & (1-x_1x_2)^{-1} \\ J_2 & 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & (1-x_1^2)^{-1} & (1-x_2^2)^{-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

to obtain the bound, if a_i and J_i are known. Here I_{max} and J_i are known, and hence we can bound the a_i !

Cartoon with 0, 1 and 2 constraints



Results with 1 and 2 constraints



SI 21 – Feb. 10-12, 2010 – p.26/35

Results with variation at CT_2 by 3%



In the elastic region, the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase (Watson's theorem). Can be included using Lagrange multipliers to obtain improved optimal constraints but not used here.

In the elastic region, the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase (Watson's theorem). Can be included using Lagrange multipliers to obtain improved optimal constraints but not used here.

Availability of phase of the form factor and modulus can be used to find even more stringent constraints by adapting the formalism given earlier.

In the elastic region, the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase (Watson's theorem). Can be included using Lagrange multipliers to obtain improved optimal constraints but not used here.

Availability of phase of the form factor and modulus can be used to find even more stringent constraints by adapting the formalism given earlier.

Adaptation of method first proposed by Caprini in 1999 in the context of the pion electromagnetic form factor (I. Caprini, European Physical Journal C 13 (2000) 471).

In the elastic region, the phase of the form factor is the scattering phase (Watson's theorem). Can be included using Lagrange multipliers to obtain improved optimal constraints but not used here.

Availability of phase of the form factor and modulus can be used to find even more stringent constraints by adapting the formalism given earlier.

Adaptation of method first proposed by Caprini in 1999 in the context of the pion electromagnetic form factor (I. Caprini, European Physical Journal C 13 (2000) 471).

The present work is the only other known application of this powerful technique which is described in the following.

Omnès function

Consider the definition

$$\mathcal{O}(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\pi} \int_{t_+}^{\infty} dt \frac{\delta(t')}{t'(t'-t)}\right),\,$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the I = 1/2 elastic S-wave $K\pi$ scattering phase, in the elastic region and arbitrary Lipschitz continuous above t_{in} (viz., the phase and its first derivative are continuous).

Omnès function

Consider the definition

$$\mathcal{O}(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\pi} \int_{t_+}^{\infty} dt \frac{\delta(t')}{t'(t'-t)}\right),\,$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the I = 1/2 elastic S-wave $K\pi$ scattering phase, in the elastic region and arbitrary Lipschitz continuous above t_{in} (viz., the phase and its first derivative are continuous).

Since the Omnès function $\mathcal{O}(t)$ fully accounts for the second Riemann sheet of the form factor, the function h(t), defined by

 $f_0(t) = h(t) \mathcal{O}(t),$

is real analytic in the t-plane with a cut only for $t \ge t_{in}$.

New conformal map

The new conformal variable is now:

$$z(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t_{\rm in}} - \sqrt{t_{\rm in} - t}}{\sqrt{t_{\rm in}} + \sqrt{t_{\rm in} - t}},$$

which maps the t-plane cut for $t > t_{in}$ onto the unit disk |z| < 1, and

$$h(z) = f_0(t(z)) w(z) \omega(z) [\mathcal{O}(t(z))]^{-1},$$

New Outer functions

The new outer function is

$$w(z) = \frac{3(M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)}{16\sqrt{2\pi}t_{\rm in}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - z} (1 + z)^{3/2} (1 + z(-Q^2))^2}{(1 - z z(-Q^2))^2} \\ \times \frac{(1 - z z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 - z z(t_-))^{1/2}}{(1 + z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 + z(t_-))^{1/2}},$$

New Outer functions

The new outer function is

$$w(z) = \frac{3(M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)}{16\sqrt{2\pi}t_{\rm in}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - z} (1 + z)^{3/2} (1 + z(-Q^2))^2}{(1 - z z(-Q^2))^2} \\ \times \frac{(1 - z z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 - z z(t_-))^{1/2}}{(1 + z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 + z(t_-))^{1/2}},$$

An additional outer function now enters which is given by

$$\omega(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{t_{\rm in} - t}}{\pi} \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\ln |\mathcal{O}(t')|}{\sqrt{t' - t_{\rm in}}(t' - t)}\right).$$

New Outer functions

The new outer function is

$$w(z) = \frac{3(M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)}{16\sqrt{2\pi}t_{\rm in}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - z} (1 + z)^{3/2} (1 + z(-Q^2))^2}{(1 - z z(-Q^2))^2} \\ \times \frac{(1 - z z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 - z z(t_-))^{1/2}}{(1 + z(t_+))^{1/2} (1 + z(t_-))^{1/2}},$$

An additional outer function now enters which is given by

$$\omega(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{t_{\rm in} - t}}{\pi} \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\ln |\mathcal{O}(t')|}{\sqrt{t' - t_{\rm in}}(t' - t)}\right).$$

The input for the bound is now given by

$$I = \chi_0(Q^2) - \frac{3}{2} \frac{t_+ t_-}{16\pi^2} \int_{t_+}^{t_{\rm in}} dt \, \frac{[(t - t_+)(t - t_-)]^{1/2} |f_0(t)|^2}{t^2 (t + Q^2)^2}$$

The information of the modulus used in an average manner. SI 21 – Feb. 10-12, 2010 – p.31/35

modulus, 2 parametrizations.



SI 21 – Feb. 10-12, 2010 – p.32/35

Results with CT_1 , and CT_1 and CT_2 constraints



Allowed regions for 2 values of t_{in}



We have reviewed the status of the vector and scalar form factors which are of fundamental importance to the standard model.

We have reviewed the status of the vector and scalar form factors which are of fundamental importance to the standard model.

We have introduced new methods to find stringent constraints using chiral symmetry, perturbative QCD, dispersion relations and unitarity

We have reviewed the status of the vector and scalar form factors which are of fundamental importance to the standard model.

We have introduced new methods to find stringent constraints using chiral symmetry, perturbative QCD, dispersion relations and unitarity

The results are very stringent in the scalar form factor case.

- We have reviewed the status of the vector and scalar form factors which are of fundamental importance to the standard model.
- We have introduced new methods to find stringent constraints using chiral symmetry, perturbative QCD, dispersion relations and unitarity
- The results are very stringent in the scalar form factor case.
- Tests the consistency of the determinations.