

Antiproton Physics with PANDA at FAIR







PANDA Scientific Program

- Charmonium/open charm spectroscopy
- Exotic states
- Strange and charmed baryons
- Hadrons in the nuclear medium
- Hypernuclear physics
- Nucleon structure via e.m. processes





PANDA Scientific Program

- **panda**
- Charmonium/open charm spectroscopy
- Exotic states
- Strange and charmed baryons
- Hadrons in the nuclear medium
- Hypernuclear physics
- Nucleon structure via e.m. processes



Antiproton physics has a great past

- pp-Colliders (SPS CERN, Tevatron Fermilab)
- Conventional p-beams (LBL, BNL, CERN, Fermilab, KEK, …)
- p-Storage Rings (LEAR, AD (CERN); Antiproton Accumulator (Fermilab))

Big and fundamental discoveries and precision measurements where possible thanks to antiprotons:

- Z, W[±] bosons discovery;
- top quark discovery;
- B_s oscillation discovery
- anti-hydrogen production;
- Meson Spectroscopy (u, d, s, c);
- p-nucleus interaction;
- p-Atoms;
- p/p-mass ratio;
- hadron therapy study.



first \overline{p} star obesrved at Berkley by E.Segrè and coll.

Which is the future of antiproton physics?





Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research





Quantum Chromodynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \frac{1}{4q^2} \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}_i \left((\partial^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} + m_i) g_i \right) \\ & \text{where } \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\,q} - \partial_{\nu} \mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\,q} + \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}^{\,q} \mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\,b} \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\,q} \\ & \text{where } \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\,q} - \partial_{\nu} \mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\,q} + \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}^{\,q} \mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\,b} \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\,q} \\ & \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + it^{q} \mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\,q} \\ & That's it ! \end{aligned}$$

The QCD Lagrangian is, in principle, a complete description of the strong interaction.

There is just one overall coupling constant g, and six quark-mass parameters m_i for the six quark flavors

But, it leads to equations that are hard to solve

Theoretical approaches

The first approach, Lattice QCD, solves the equations numerically.

That's not easy.

Fortunately, powerful modern computers have made it possible to calculate a few of the key predictions of QCD directly. The second approach, Effective Field Theories, creates phenomenological models that are simpler to deal with, but keep resemblance to the real things. Cross-sections and branching fractions can be predicted



Can we be satisfied?

Today QCD predictions can reach a precision of about 10% at the GeV scale, and also the phenomenological models are not doing better! Can we be satisfied of this accuracy?



Can we be satisfied?

Today QCD predictions can reach a precision of about 10% at the GeV scale, and also the phenomenological models are not doing better! Can we be satisfied of this accuracy?



Just a note: the Ptolemaic system allowed to predict the position of the planets with the same level of accuracy.....

but it was wrong!

We have also to keep an eye to new theoretical developments....

Other approaches

String theory is today a candidate for a quantum theory of gravity.



Nevertheless, string theory was introduced in an attempt to describe the large number of mesons and hadrons that were experimentally discovered in the 1960's.

The idea was to view all these particles as different oscillation modes of a string. It was later discovered that hadrons and mesons are actually made of quarks and that they are described by QCD.

Recently, there has been remarkable progress in the study of the strong coupling dynamics of gauge theories by employing duality between gauge theory and string theory.



courtesy of S. Brodsky & G. de Teramond

Initiated by the discovery of the AdS/CFT correspondence intensive attempts to apply the idea of the gauge/string duality to QCD has been made. (EPJA35:81 (2008), PRL96, 201601 (2006), Phys.Rept.323:183,(2000))

A better understanding of QCD could help in developing this theory

pp-cross sections high → Data with very high statistics Low final state multiplicities: Clean spectra, Good for PWA



Example: $pp \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ (LEAR) $\rightarrow f_0(1500)$ best candidate for the Glueball ground state

Paola Gianotti – INFN

• e⁺e⁻ interactions:

• pp reactions:



• e⁺e⁻ interactions:

- Only 1⁻⁻ states are formed
- Other states only by secondary decays (moderate mass resolution related to the detector)
- pp reactions:

- Most states directly formed (very good mass resolution; p-beam can be efficiently cooled)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow & \Psi' \\ \rightarrow & \gamma \chi_{1,2} \end{array} \rightarrow & \gamma \gamma J/\psi \\ & \rightarrow & \gamma \gamma e^{+}e^{-} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{p} p \rightarrow \chi_{1,2} \\ \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \\ \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- \end{array}$$

- e⁺e⁻ interactions:
 - Only 1⁻⁻ states are formed
 - Other states only by secondary decays (moderate mass resolution related to the detector)
- pp reactions:
 - Most states directly formed (very good mass resolution; $\bar{p}\mbox{-beam}$ can be efficiently cooled)





- e⁺e⁻ interactions:
 - Only 1-- states are formed
 - Other states only by secondary decays (moderate mass resolution related to the detector)
- pp reactions:
 - Most states directly formed (very good mass resolution; p-beam can be efficiently cooled)

Br($p\overline{p} \rightarrow \eta_c$) = 1.2 10⁻³



Spectroscopy with antiprotons

There are two mechanisms to access particular final states:





Paola Gianotti – INFN

Spectroscopy with antiprotons

There are two mechanisms to access particular final states:





Paola Gianotti – INFN

Spectroscopy with antiprotons



The QCD spectrum is much rich than that of the naive quark model also the gluons can act as hadron components



The QCD spectrum is much rich than that of the naive quark model also the gluons can act as hadron components



XYZ Mesons



The B-factory experiments have discovered a large number of candidates for charmonium and charmonium-like meson states, many of which can not be easily accommodated by theory. State parameters are still largely unknown. Few events collected in 10 years of running **PANDA will detect 100 events per day**

XYZ Mesons

A number of models have been proposed to explain these states, including mesonantimeson molecules, diquark-diantiquark bound states, $c\bar{c}$ -gluon hybrids and threshold effects. None of the proposed mechanisms easily accounts for all of the observations.

state	M (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	J^{PC}	Decay Modes	Production Modes
$Y_{s}(2175)$	2175 ± 8	58 ± 26	1	$\phi f_0(980)$	e^+e^- (ISR), J/ψ decay
X(3872)	3871.4 ± 0.6	< 2.3	1^{++}	$\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi, \gamma J/\psi$	$B \rightarrow KX(3872), p\bar{p}$
X(3875)	3875.5 ± 1.5	$3.0^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$		$D^0 \overline{D^0} \pi^0$	$B \rightarrow KX(3875)$
Z(3940)	3929 ± 5	29 ± 10	2^{++}	$D\bar{D}$	$\gamma\gamma$
X(3940)	3942 ± 9	37 ± 17	J^{P+}	$D\bar{D^*}$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X(3940)$
Y(3940)	3943 ± 17	87 ± 34	J^{P+}	$\omega J/\psi$	$B \rightarrow KY(3940)$
Y(4008)	4008^{+82}_{-49}	226^{+97}_{-80}	1	$\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	$e^+e^-(ISR)$
X(4160)	4156 ± 29	139^{+113}_{-65}	J^{P+}	$D^*\overline{D^*}$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X(4160)$
Y(4260)	4264 ± 12	83 ± 22	1	$\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	$e^+e^-(ISR)$
Y(4350)	4361 ± 13	74 ± 18	1	$\pi^+\pi^-\psi'$	$e^+e^-(ISR)$
Z(4430)	4433 ± 5	45^{+35}_{-18}	?	$\pi^{\pm}\psi'$	$B \rightarrow KZ^{\pm}(4430)$
Y(4660)	4664 ± 12	48 ± 15	1	$\pi^+\pi^-\psi'$	$e^+e^-(ISR)$
Y_b	$\sim 10,870$?	1	$\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$	e^+e^-

Godfrey&Olsen-arXiv:0801.3867 [hep-ph]

Charmonium region



arXiv:hep-lat/0608004 Quantum numbers assignment become clear only with high statistics and different final states



This state has been discovered by BaBar with the technique of initial state radiation in one year of data taking PRL95, 142001 (2005)









Open Charm: D_{S0}(2317)



Paola Gianotti – INFN

Open Charm: D_{S0}(2317)



Paola Gianotti – INFN

X(3872) at PANDA $\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\Psi \pi^+\pi^-$



 $h_{c}^{1}P$ state

A precise knowledge of h_c parameters will determine the spin component of $q\bar{q}$ potential.



Paola Gianotti – INFN

 h_c ¹₁P state

A precise knowledge of h_c parameters will determine the spin component of $q\bar{q}$ potential.



$h_c {}^1_1 P$ state @ $\overline{P}ANDA$

$$h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma \rightarrow 3\gamma$$

Good tag with E_{γ} =503MeV signal eff. 8.2%

In high luminosity mode we expect 20 signal events/day

Rejection of main sources of bck

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mbox{Channel} & \mbox{S/B ratio} \\ \hline \mbox{pp} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 & > 94 \\ \hline \mbox{pp} \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma & > 164 \\ \hline \mbox{pp} \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta & > 88 \\ \hline \mbox{pp} \rightarrow \eta \eta & > 87 \\ \hline \mbox{pp} \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta' & > 250 \\ \end{array}$$

$$h_c \longrightarrow \eta_c \gamma \longrightarrow \phi \phi \gamma \longrightarrow 4K \gamma$$

Good tag with E_{γ} =503MeV signal eff. 25%

In high luminosity mode we expect 92 signal events/day Rejection of main sources of bck

channel	Signal/Background
$\overline{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{p} ightarrow K^+ K^- K^+ K^- \pi^0$	8
$\overline{ m p}{ m p} ightarrow \phi K^+K^-\pi^0$	8
$\overline{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{p} ightarrow \phi \phi \pi^0$	> 10
$\overline{\mathrm{p}}\mathrm{p} ightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	> 12



The production rate of a certain final state v is a convolution of the BW cross section and the beam energy distribution function $f(E, \Delta E)$: $v = L_0 \left\{ \varepsilon \int dE f(E, \Delta E) \sigma_{BW}(E) + \sigma_b \right\}$

The resonance mass M_R , total width Γ_R and product of branching ratios into the initial and final state $B_{in}B_{out}$ can be extracted by measuring the formation rate for that resonance as a function of the cm energy *E*.



Charmonium states width

Thanks to the precise HESR momentum definition, widths of known states can be precisely measured with an energy scan.



Paola Gianotti – INFN

Charmonium states width

Thanks to the precise HESR momentum definition, widths of known states can be precisely measured with an energy scan.



Paola Gianotti – INFN

Baryon-Baryon Interaction

The knowledge of Baryon-Baryon potential is essential for the understanding of the composition of nuclear matter.



Nuclear *NN* forces are known, *YN* interaction, thanks to hypernuclear physics, is relatively known, but *YY* interaction is completely unknown, there are just a few double Λ hypernuclear events.



AA-hypernuclei, Ξ -atoms, Ω -atoms allow to have an insight to more complex nuclear systems containing strangeness (neutron stars, hyperon-stars, strangequark stars, ...)

A new way for double strange systems

- Up to now double strange systems have been produced by K⁻ beams in the reaction:
- K⁻ (N, Ξ⁻) K⁺ (N- quasi free or bound in nucleus)

S=-2 baryon can be produced via:

$$\overline{p} + p \rightarrow \Xi^{-} + \Xi^{+}$$

$$\overline{p} + n \rightarrow \Xi^{-} + \overline{\Xi}^{0}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{reaction}} = 2\mu b \text{ at } 3\text{GeV/c}$$

$$700.000\Xi^{-}\Xi \text{ bar /h}$$

Goal:

maximize the "stopped Ξ -" with a suitable set-up

Choice of the target:

free protons (hydrogen target) **or** protons and neutrons in a **nucleus** (quasi-free reactions)

Advantages of nuclear target © :

- a) higher cross section (scaling as $\sim A^{2/3}$)
- b) Ξ^{-} slowing down in dense (nuclear) matter

Disadvantages of nuclear target 8:

- c) high background (annihilation)
- d) high beam consuming (beam losses)

70 Double Strange Systems/h are expected within PANDA



The Hadron's structure

Properties of hadrons are only determined to a small degree by the constituent quarks.

Quarks and gluons dynamics plays a fundamental role in the definitions of hadron's properties: mass, spin, etc...



Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) contain both the usual form factors and structure functions, but in addition they include correlations between states of different longitudinal and transverse momenta. GPDs give a three-dimensional picture of the nucleon.



Nucleon Form Factors have been mainly studied using electromagnetic probes, but the physical diagrams can be inverted... and a complementary approach can be used

In a similar way Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) can be crossed-studied



non-perturbative QCD perturbative QCD

u

Proton Form Factors in Time-Like region: G_E and G_M

Form Factors in the Time Like region in a wide $q^2>0$ range



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta C}{4s} \left[|G_M(s)|^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta^*) + \frac{4m_N^2}{s} |G_E(s)|^2 \sin^2 \theta^* \right]$$

$$\sigma = \frac{4\alpha^2 \pi \beta C}{3s} \left[|G_M(s)|^2 + \frac{2m_N^2}{s} |G_E(s)|^2 \right]$$

$$R = |G_E|/|G_M|$$

$$\stackrel{a \text{BaBar}}{\underset{a \text{BaBar}}{2.5}} = PS170$$
Born approx. q²=s
Expected errors on R as a function on q² for G_E=G_M.
BaBar and PS170 data are shown for comparison
$$\pi \text{ rejection } \textcircled{0} = 10^9 \div 10^{10}$$

2008 has seen the Collaboration preparing the first PANDA Physics Book.

Within this document, we produced a complete description of all the aspects of the scientific program. Detailed simulations have been performed to evaluate detector performance on many benchmark channels.



R&D activity within PANDA



Summary

p-induced reactions studied with PANDA@FAIR have an enormous impact in particle

physics

- All qq states can be formed directly (not only 1⁻⁻)
 - └→ Discovery potential
- p momentum can be tuned
 - └→ Precision studies can be performed
- High probability for production of exotic states
 - \rightarrow 2 states 1⁻⁺ are predicted in the charmonium energy region
- Low final state multiplicities
 - └→ Allows complete PWA
- p are extremely versatile
 - \mapsto Nucleon structure can be studied, DDS, etc...
- High luminosity
 - └→ Maximizes the yield, and then rare phenomena can be studied



At present 410 physicists from 53 institutions in 16 countries



Basel, Beijing, Bochum, IIT Bombay, Bonn, Brescia, IFIN Bucharest, Catania, IIT Chicago, Cracow, IFJ PAN Cracow, Cracow UT, Edinburgh, Erlangen, Ferrara, Frankfurt, Genova, Giessen, Glasgow, GSI, FZ Jülich, JINR Dubna, Katowice, KVI Groningen, Lanzhou, LNF, Lund, Mainz, Minsk, ITEP Moscow, MPEI Moscow, TU München, Münster, Northwestern, BINP Novosibirsk, IPN Orsay, Pavia, IHEP Protvino, PNPI St.Petersburg, KTH Stockholm, Stockholm, Dep. A. Avogadro Torino, Dep. Fis. Sperimentale Torino, Torino Politecnico, Trieste, TSL Uppsala, Tübingen, Uppsala, Valencia, SINS Warsaw, TU Warsaw, AAS Wien

Backup slides

Hadron's masses

The elementary particles of the Standard Model gain their mass through the Higgs mechanism.

However, only a few percent of the mass of the proton is due to the Higgs mechanism. The rest is created in an unknown way by the strong interaction.

Glueballs would be massless without the strong interaction and their predicted masses arise solely from the strong interaction.

The possibility to study a whole spectrum of glueballs might therefore be the key of understanding the mechanism of mass creation by the strong interaction.





The role of Chiral Symmetry

u and d quarks have very small and similar masses, this seems to indicate that the equations of QCD possess some additional symmetry, Chiral Symmetry, that is spontaneously broken.

this mechanism is playing an important role in the process of mass generation.

In the nuclear medium $(\rho>0)$ we can restore this symmetry at least partially.

Hints of this effect have been already observed. We wants to extend these studies to charmed mesons.



Hayashigaki, PLB 487 (2000) 96 Morath, Lee, Weise, priv. Comm.

From Ξ^- production to Ξ^- stop

Characteristics of the simulation:

- $5 \times 10^5 \Xi$ generated in primary target
- fate of each Ξ^{-} followed up to the DSS formation or Ξ^{-} decay ($\tau_{\Xi^{-}}$ = 164ps)

Inside primary target: INC model

- Low momentum tail increased
- Xbar annihilation mostly in primary target

Inside the secondary target: 3 steps

- 1. Slowing down and stop: energy loss by ionization stopped Ξ^- / produced Ξ^- : 2 4 x 10⁻³
- Atomic capture: Binary Encounters Bethe model: (as a rough estimate of capture probability): captured Ξ⁻ / stopped Ξ⁻: about 94%
- Atomic cascade: only EM decay model: (as a rough estimate of cascade time): in nucleus absorbed Ξ⁻/ captured Ξ⁻: 78%

DSS production rate per produced Ξ^- : about 10⁻³ (in ¹²C)

FF Time-Like : GE et GM

~100 days, *L* = 2×10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹



Expected event rates

reconstructed signal events/day assuming an integrated luminosity of 8pb⁻¹/day and a rough cross-section of 1 nb



1 year run (~200 d) at $p_{\bar{p}}$ =15 GeV/*c* for a survey. Additional running at optimized momentum (tuned on finding) to improve PWA sensitivity (final goal: total ~600 d, ~3 year ?)

Paola Gianotti – INFN