Disorder-induced local moments in a SU(2) symmetric Majorana spin liquid Random-singlet phenomenology of low-temperature susceptibility

Kedar Damle (Tata Institute, India) IBS-PCS-KIAS Workshop on Frustrated Magnetism October 2019

Background: Random singlet physics in Si:P



► Low density of P dopants in Si → Half-filled "Hubbard model" on random lattice Electrical insulator

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

• At low energies: Physics of S = 1/2 local moments

Random singlet phenomenology of $\chi(T)$



- $\sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ with broad distribution of $J_{ij} > 0$
- RG: Singlet pairs with broad distribution of binding energies
- ► N(T): Pairs with binding energy < T $\chi(T) \sim \frac{N(T)}{T} \sim T^{\alpha-1}$ $1 > \alpha(T) > 0$ «effective» exponent Varies slowly with fitting range, depends on concentration of P (Bhatt & Lee)

Asymptotically exact?

In d = 1, picture asymptotically exact for the random-exchange antiferromagnetic chain

$$\chi(T) = \frac{\Gamma_T^{-2}}{T}$$
 as $T \to 0$.

 $(\Gamma_T \equiv \log(J/T))$ [J: scale of antiferromagnetic exchange] Multiplicative log shows up as effective exponent $\alpha(T)$ in fits (Dasgupta & Ma, D. S. Fisher)

 For d > 1, distributions do not broaden under RG (Bhatt & Lee; Motrunich & Huse)
 But random-singlet phenomenology in broad temperature/field range

Recent interest in random-singlet phenomenology in d > 1

- Possibility of random-singlet physics in bond disordered VBS phases proximate to spin liquid states (Kimchi, Nahum, Senthil 2018) partially motivated by properties of triangular lattice S=1/2 magnet YbMgGaO₄
- Numerical evidence for bond disordered VBS phase in JQ model (with multispin interactions) (Liu, Shao, Lin, Guo, Sandvik 2018)

Apparently wide applicability of phenomenology

► Random singlet phenomenology for $C_{\nu}(H,T)$ and $\chi(H,T)$ (or M(H,T)) in variety of disordered frustrated magnets H₃Lilr₂O₆, LiZn₂Mo₃O₈, ZnCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂ and 1T-TaS₂ (Kimchi, Sheckleton, McQueen, Lee 2018) Argument': When distributions don't broaden indefinitely, also get few large local moments \rightarrow Curie tail + random-singlet phenomenology

In this talk...

Random-singlet-like susceptibility of diluted SU(2)-symmetric Majorana spin liquid

► Tractable example of a disordered SU(2)-symmetric Majorana spin liquid in d = 2with $\chi(T) = \frac{C}{4T} + \frac{N(\Gamma_T)}{4T}$ as $T \to 0$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

► $N(\Gamma_T)$ consistent with random-singlet physics $N(\Gamma_T) \sim \Gamma_T^{-y}$ for $T^* \ll T \ll J$ (y nonuniversal) $N(\Gamma_T) \sim \Gamma_T^{1/3} \exp(-c\Gamma_T^{2/3})$ for $T \ll T^*$ $N(\Gamma_T)$ factor gives effective exponent $\alpha(T)$

Natural interpretation and question

► C

Density of "forever-free" moments Composite' large-lengthscale objects

 $\blacktriangleright N(\Gamma_T)$

Density of singlet-pairs with binding energies smaller than T

Results raise question:

How literally should we take this interpretation?

Alternate strong-disorder RG approach to go beyond solvable limit?

Source of these results...

Free-fermion physics of bipartite random hopping problem with vacancy and flux disorder

► $\chi(T) \propto \kappa(T)$ (compressibility) $N(\Gamma_T) \rightarrow$ integrated DOS for single-particle energies $0 < |\epsilon| < J \times 10^{-\Gamma_T}$ $C \rightarrow$

density of protected zero modes of single-particle problem

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Setting: Honeycomb model of Yao & Lee

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle \vec{r}\vec{r}' \rangle_{\lambda}} \tau_{\vec{r}}^{\lambda} \tau_{\vec{r}'}^{\lambda} \vec{S}_{\vec{r}} \cdot \vec{S}_{\vec{r}'} - \sum_{\vec{r}} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{S}_{\vec{r}} .$$
(1)

- ► \(\vec{\tau}\): "Orbital degrees of freedom that remain dynamical at low energy
- $\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$: spin-half moments
- Effective *H* for S = 1/2 antiferromagnet on decorated honeycomb lattice

Strong AF exchange within each triangle; multi-spin interactions between triangles

Each \vec{S}_r : Total spin on each triangle r.

Chirality $\tau_r^z = \pm 1$: Two different doublet states of a triangle *r*

Majorana representation

•
$$\sigma_{\vec{r}}^z = -ic_{\vec{r}}^x c_{\vec{r}}^y$$

 $\tau_{\vec{r}}^z = -ib_{\vec{r}}^x b_{\vec{r}}^y$
and cyclic permutations

• $c_{\vec{r}}^{\lambda}$ and $b_{\vec{r}}^{\lambda}$ are Majorana (real) fermion operators.

Single-site Hilbert space doubled by this representation (Shastry-Sen, Tsvelik)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Constraint on fermion states

► $D_{\vec{r}} \equiv -ic_{\vec{r}}^{x}c_{\vec{r}}^{y}c_{\vec{r}}z_{\vec{r}}^{y}b_{\vec{r}}z_{\vec{r}}^{z} = +1$ at each site \vec{r} Curious fact: D = -1 sector also provides faithful representation of $\vec{\sigma}$ and $\vec{\tau}$.

 \rightarrow

No "unphysical" states. Instead: Two copies of physical states at each site

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

In D = +1 sector: σ^α_rτ^β_r = ic^α_rb^β_r Similar reduction in D = −1 sector

Reduction leads to exact solution

- On bond $\langle rr' \rangle \lambda$ (orientation $\lambda = x, y, z$) get term: $u_{\langle rr' \rangle \lambda} (i\vec{c}_r \cdot \vec{c}_{r'})$ where $u_{\langle rr' \rangle \lambda} = -ib_r^{\lambda}b_{r'}^{\lambda}$
- Three copies of Kitaev's non-interacting Majorana model, all coupled to same static Z₂ gauge field

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Majorana fermion Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\alpha = x, y, z} \sum_{\langle \vec{r} \vec{r}' \rangle_{\lambda}} u_{\langle \vec{r} \vec{r}' \rangle_{\lambda}} (ic^{\alpha}_{\vec{r}} c^{\alpha}_{\vec{r}'} + h.c.) + B \sum_{\vec{r}} ic^{x}_{\vec{r}} c^{y}_{\vec{r}'}$$
(2)

where $\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$.

• Convenient: Canonical fermions $f_{\vec{r}} = (c_{\vec{r}}^x - ic_{\vec{r}}^y)/2$

•
$$S_{\vec{r}}^z = \frac{i}{2} c_{\vec{r}}^x c_{\vec{r}}^y = f_{\vec{r}}^\dagger f_{\vec{r}} - 1/2$$

• Want to compute: $m^z \equiv \sum_r \langle S_{\vec{r}}^z \rangle / 2L^2$ as function of *B* and obtain $\chi(T) = \frac{dm^z}{dB}$ at B = 0

Calculating susceptibility

Hamiltonian *H* for *f* fermions:
 Tight-binding model with static Z₂ gauge-fields *u* determining signs of each hopping matrix element *t* = *u*|*J*|

- $\chi(T) \rightarrow f$ fermion compressibility $\kappa(T)$ at $\mu \equiv B = 0$.
- c^z Majorana plays no role in susceptibility calculation
- ► $\chi(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\Omega}^{+\Omega} d\epsilon \rho_{\text{tot.}}(\epsilon) \frac{e^{\epsilon/T}}{(e^{\epsilon/T}+1)^2}$ where $\rho_{\text{tot}}(\epsilon)$ is full DOS of H

Projection issues?

- In usual Kitaev model: Projection gives subleading corrections in thermodynamic limit (Pedrocchi-Chesi-Loss, Zschocke-Vojta)
- What happens here?
 Again: Only subleading corrections in general.
- For specific boundary conditions: Coefficient of subleading corrections zero

Flux-binding

Lieb-Loss heuristics:

Each vacancy binds static π -flux in ground-state sector. Numerical verification: Gap to other flux sectors (Kitaev)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• At low temperature, χ dominated by this flux-sector

Features of free-fermion H

 Without flux-attachment: site-diluted tight-binding model for graphene)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

In any flux sector, ρ(ε) = ρ(−ε)
 "Chiral" (bipartite) symmetry: ε → −ε, Ψ_B → −Ψ_B

d = 1 bipartite random-hopping: Dyson form of DOS

 $\rho(\epsilon) \sim 1/[|\epsilon| \log^3(1/|\epsilon|)]$ Defining: $N(\Gamma_{\epsilon}) = 2 \int_0^{10^{-\Gamma_{\epsilon}}} \rho(x) dx$, $dN/d\Gamma_{\epsilon} \sim 1/\Gamma_{\epsilon}^3$ with $\Gamma_{\epsilon} = \log_{10}(1/|\epsilon|)$

• Controlled strong-disorder RG rederivation: Eliminate states at cutoff $\pm \Omega \rightarrow J_i \rightarrow \tilde{J}_i$ At cutoff scale $\Gamma \equiv \log(1/\Omega)$ Number of surviving sites: $N(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma^2$ Fraction $1/\Gamma$ of $\zeta_i \equiv \log(\Omega/\tilde{J}_i)$ have $\zeta_i = 0$ Distribution of log-couplings becomes infinitely broad at low energies

 $\to dN/d\Gamma_\epsilon \sim N(\Gamma_\epsilon)/\Gamma_\epsilon$

► Conversely: $\frac{1}{N(\Gamma)} \times dN/d\Gamma \sim 1/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Distributions broaden as} \sim \Gamma$ (Motrunich, KD, Huse)

d = 2: Modified Gade-Wegner scaling

$$\rho(E) \sim \frac{1}{|\epsilon|} e^{-b|\ln \epsilon|^{1/x}}$$
equivalently: $dN(\Gamma_{\epsilon})/d\Gamma_{\epsilon} \sim \exp(-b\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{1/x})$
 $N(\Gamma_{\epsilon}) \sim \Gamma_{\epsilon}^{1-\frac{1}{x}} e^{-b\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{1/x}}$
Gade & Wegner prediction: $x = 2$

► $\frac{1}{N(\Gamma_{\epsilon})} \times dN/d\Gamma_{\epsilon} \sim 1/\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{1-1/x} \rightarrow \text{broad distributions}$ $\rightarrow \text{At cutoff scale } \Gamma = \log(1/\Omega)$: width $\sim \Gamma^{1-1/x}$ Strong disorder effect: x = 3/2 (Motrunich, KD, Huse) (field-theory confirmation: Mudry, Ryu, Furusaki)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• Distributions broaden as $\sim \Gamma^{1/3}$

What about vacancies?

- Usual Kitaev model with vacancies: free fermion *H* has dilution and flux binding Numerical result: N(Γ_ϵ) ~ 1/Γ^y_ϵ with y ≈ 0.7 at not-too-low energies ϵ (Willans, Chalker, Moessner)
- surprising violation of modified Gade-Wegner scaling?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Similar surprise in diluted graphene?

Perhaps motivated by Willans-Moessner-Chalker...

 New field-theoretical prediction: Vacancies change asymptotic universality class

 $N(\Gamma_{\epsilon}) \sim 1/\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{y}$ with y = 0.5

(Ostrovsky, Protopopov, Konig, Gornyi, Mirlin, Skvortsov)

• concurrent numerical evidence: $y \sim 1/2$

(Hafner, Schindler, Weik, Mayer, Balakrishnan, Narayanan, Bera, Evers)

Actually: surprisingly long crossover...



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

(Sanyal, KD, Motrunich '16)

Revisiting calculation of Willans-Chalker-Moessner



Same crossover in diluted system with π flux attached to each vacancy

(Sanyal, KD, Chalker, Moessner, in preparation)

Crossover looks nonuniversal:

 Crossover Γ_c and intermediate asymptotic exponent y nonuniversal: depends on n_v and correlations between vacancy positions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

But: Zero mode density controls crossover

- Other generic feature of bipartite random hopping H on diluted lattice:
 - Nonzero density w of zero modes

Robust to bond-disorder, flux attachment, boundary conditions **not** associated with lattice imbalance, isolated sites or other trivial effects

w(n_ν), y(n_ν), Γ_c(n_ν) all depend on correlations between vacancy positions
 But y(w) and Γ_c(w) are universal (Sanyal, KD, Motrunich '16)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Zero modes in diluted graphene



(Sanyal, KD, Motrunich '16)

Kitaev: Zero modes



(Sanyal, KD, Chalker, Moessner, in preparation)

Zero modes are robust large-lengthscale effect



(Biswas, Islam, KD, in preparation)



・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Conclusions

- Exactly solvable example of random-singlet physics in SU(2) symmetric Majorana spin liquid state
- Key ingredients present:

Low-temperature susceptibility controlled by flows to «strong» disorder

«Large-lengthscale» cooperative effect controls Curie coefficient associated with emergent free moments

Acknowledgements

Collaborators:

- Diluted graphene: «Sambuddha Sanyal » and Lesik Motrunich
- SU(2) symmetric Kitaev work: «Sambuddha Sanyal», John Chalker, Roderich Moessner
- Disorder-robust large-lengthscale zero modes and implications for Kitaev materials and graphene: «Sounak Biswas» and Mursalin Islam

- ► Oxford → India travel grant for seeding SU(2) symmetric Kitaev work
- Long-term DAE (India) support for computing cluster at Tata Institute