Melting of three-sublattice order in easy-axis antiferromagnets

Singular thermodynamics, multicriticality, and algorithms

Kedar Damle, LDQM Lausanne 2016 Tata Institute, Bombay



Sounak Biswas Geet Rakala Deepak Dhar

Geometric frustration and spin-orbit coupling

- \blacktriangleright Insulators with heavy magnetic ions $\rightarrow\,$ spin-orbit coupling effects matter
- Anisotropic terms in low-energy H for spins
- Anisotropies can amplify effects of geometric frustration

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Classical picture

Isotropic spins on a triangle



Easy-axis n and triangular motifs...



Focus: Easy-axis antiferromagnets with triangular lattice symmetry



Natural tripartite structure of lattice

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

Three-sublattice order minimizes frustration



Order parameter: $\psi = |\psi|e^{i\theta} = -\sum_{\vec{R}} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\vec{R}}S^{z}_{\vec{R}}$ Two states at same **Q** Ferrimagnetic: $\theta = 2\pi m/6$, Antiferromagnetic: $\theta = (2m + 1)\pi/6$ (m = 0, 1, 2...5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - 釣��

Three-sublattice order on the Kagome lattice



・ロト・西ト・ヨト ・ヨー うんぐ

Several realizations of this physics

- Transverse-field Ising antiferromagnet on the triangular lattice Quantum order-by-disorder effect (Isakov & Moessner PRB 68 104409)
- S = 1 triangular antiferromagnet with moderately strong single-ion anisotropy → hard-core bosons with repulsion V (≫ t) (KD & Senthil PRL 97 067202)
 Bosons form three-sublattice ordered "supersolid" (Melko *et. al.* PRL 95 127207; Heidarian & KD PRL 95 127206; Wessel & Troyer PRL 95 127205)
- "Artificial Kagome Ice" systems (Moller & Moessner PRB 80 140409(R); Chern *et. al.* PRL 106 207202).
- Classical Ising models of adsorbed noble-gases on graphite substrates

(DP Landau PRB 27 5604).

In this talk ...

- Review of well-known melting scenarios: Two-step melting (intermediate phase with power-law three-sublattice order) or 2d Three-state Potts criticality
- Results: Physics:
 - ► Thermodynamic signature of two-step melting: $\chi^{zz}_{\text{uniform}}(B) \sim 1/|B|^{p(T)}$ with $p(T) \in (\frac{2}{3}, 0)$ for $T \in (T_{c1}, T_{c2})$.
 - Intervening multicritical point *M* with c_M ∈ (1,3/2) (subleading) further-neighbour couplings could drive melting to multicritical point *M* (?)

Algorithms:

- Efficient quantum cluster algorithm for frustrated transverse field Ising models.
- Efficient dual-worm construction(s) of clusters for frustrated classical models.
- Analytical theory for continuously varying persistence exponent of worms.

Review: Landau theory for ψ

- ▶ Bravais lattice symmetries: Translations, rotations and reflection $\psi \rightarrow \psi^*, \psi \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/3}\psi$
- In zero easy-axis field, Z₂ spin symmetry of easy-axis spin-flip: $S_r^z \rightarrow -S_r^z$ $\psi \rightarrow -\psi$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• Landau potential: $r|\psi|^2 + u|\psi|^4 + \lambda_6 \operatorname{Re}(\psi^6) + \dots$

Symmetries of the six-state clock model universality class

Review: Coarse-grained effective model

• (Classical) effective model for finite-temperature melting transitions: $H_{clock} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} V(\theta_i - \theta_j) - \lambda_6 \sum_j \cos(\theta_j)$ $V(x) = K_1 \cos(x) + K_2 \cos(2x) + K_3 \cos(3x)$ (Cardy J. Phys. A **13** 1507)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Review: Melting scenarios

- Analysis of Cardy
 - \rightarrow Three generic possibilities of relevance here:

Two-step melting, with power-law ordered intermediate phase for $T \in (T_1, T_2)$

- OR
- 3-state Potts transition
- OR

First-order transition (always possible!)

Multiplicity of possibilities related to details of domain-wall energetics

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 \rightarrow each realization needs separate analysis

Review: A closer look at various systems

 $H_{
m easy-axis} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - D \sum_i (S_i^z)^2 + J' \dots$ with S > 1/2 and D > J

▶ When $D \gg J$: Ising antiferromagnet at low energies $H_{\text{Ising}} = JS^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + J' \cdots + \text{negligible}$ Small ferromagnetic J' induces three-sublattice order (DP Landau)

Transverse field
$$-\vec{B}_{\perp} \cdot \sum_{j} \vec{S}_{j}^{\perp} \rightarrow -\Gamma \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}$$
 with $\Gamma \sim SB_{\perp} \times (B_{\perp}/D)^{2S}$

Small transverse field induces three-sublattice order on triangular lattice (Isakov & Moessner)

► When D dominates over J, but J/D non-negligible: Low energy theory depends on S

S = 1: hard-core bosons with repulsive $V \gg t$ (KD & Senthil) $H_b = -\frac{J^2}{D} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (b_i^{\dagger} b_j + h.c.) + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (n_i - \frac{1}{2})(n_j - \frac{1}{2}) + \dots$ Three-sublattice ordered on triangular lattice (Wessel & Troyer;

Melko et. al.; Heidarian & KD)

S > 1: Classical Ising antiferromagnet with additional multi-spin interaction (Sen *et. al.* PRL **102**, 227001)

Not three-sublattice ordered (lattice nematic_state), as a source of the state of t

Melting of three-sublattice order in various examples

- Antiferro three-sublattice order in triangular lattice transverse field Ising model
 Two-step melting (Isakov & Moessner)
- Ferrimagn. three-sublattice order in triangular lattice Ising models with ferro. J' Two-step melting (D.P. Landau)
- Ferrimagn. three-sublattice order in Kagome Ising antiferromagnets
 With ferro. J' (second-neighbour) : Two step melting (Wolf & Schotte J. Phys. A 21 2195)
 With long-range dipolar couplings: Three-state Potts transition (Moller & Moessner; Chern *et. al.*)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

New physics: Uniform magnetization mode m

- Symmetries allow term $\lambda_3 m Re(\psi^3)$ in Landau theory
- For T ∈ (T₁, T₂) (in power-law phase of two-step melting), λ₆ irrelevant → θ fluctuates uniformly over (0, 2π)
 Contrast: λ₆ cos(6θ) locks θ to 2πm/6 ((2m + 1)π/6) in ferri (antiferro) ordered state (T < T₁)
- ► Distinction between ferri and antiferro three-sublattice order lost for T ∈ (T₁, T₂)

 \rightarrow

Thermodynamic signature of order-parameter fluctuations in *uniform* easy-axis susceptibility

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

RG analysis—I

► Fixed point free-energy density: $\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{KT}}}{k_B T} = \frac{1}{4\pi g} (\nabla \theta)^2$ with $g(T) \in (\frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{4})$ corresponding to $T \in (T_{c1}, T_{c2})$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• $\lambda_6 \cos(6\theta)$ *irrelevant* along fixed line

•
$$\langle \psi^*(r)\psi(0)\rangle \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta(T)}}$$

with $\eta(T) = g(T)$

(Jose et. al. Phys. Rev. B 16 1217)

RG analysis-II

- λ_3 formally irrelevant along fixed line $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{KT}}$ \rightarrow Correlators of ψ unaffected.
- ► But *m* "inherits" power-law correlations of $cos(3\theta)$: $C_m(r) = \langle m(r)m(0) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{r^{9\eta(T)}}$
- Uniform $\chi_L(B_z = 0) \sim \int^L d^2 r C_m(r)$ in a finite-size system at B = 0

• Uniform $\chi_L(B_z=0) \sim L^{2-9\eta(T)}$ for $\eta(T) \in (\frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9})$

RG analysis—III

- ▶ Uniform easy-axis field $B_z > 0 \rightarrow$ additional term $h_3 \cos(3\theta)$ in \mathcal{F}_{KT}
- Strongly relevant along fixed line, with RG eigenvalue 2 9g/2
- ► Implies finite correlation length $\xi(B_z) \sim |B_z|^{-\frac{2}{4-9\eta}}$

Order parameter fluctuations at nonzero **Q** picked up in the uniform susceptibility!

Implication: "Ferromagnetism" of transverse field Ising antiferromagnet

Perhaps most dramatic manifestation:

Heat up antiferromagnetically ordered triangular lattice transverse field Ising antiferromagnet to enter phase with divergent *ferromagnetic* susceptibility

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

QMC evidence:



Left panel fit: $L^{2-\eta(T)}$. Right panel fit: $L^{2-9\eta(T)}$ (Biswas & KD arXiv:1603.06473)

Needed new quantum cluster algorithm to probe effect



 $L = 48, \Gamma = 0.8, T = 0.1, J_1 = 1.0, J_2 = 0.0$

(Biswas Rakala & KD Phys. Rev. B 93 235103)

New quantum cluster algorithm: Basic idea



Performance advantage



 $L = 48, \Gamma = 0.8, T = 0.1, J_1 = 1.0, J_2 = 0.0$



 n_c/n_{tot}

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

Relevance for S = 1 on triangular lattice with moderately strong D > J?

Maps to hard-core bosons with n.n. repulsion \rightarrow ferimagnetic three-sublattice order But: Conflicting predictions about nature of melting transition

- Three-state Potts (Boninsegni & Prokof'ev PRL 95 237204)
- Two-step melting (Heidarian & KD)

Educated guesswork

Hard to get right without high-precision QMC mapping of phase diagram

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Recent QMC verdict: Two-step melting



V = 4D/J and T measured in units of J^2/D (Heidarian & KD arXiv:1512.01346)

Singular ferromagnetic susceptibility in power-law phase



 $\chi_{\text{easy-axis}} = 4\kappa$ (Heidarian & KD arXiv:1512.01346)

・日本・西本・西本・西本・日本

Effective model incorporating new physics

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{xy}} + H_{\text{Ising}} - J_{\theta\tau} \sum_{\vec{r}} \tau_{\vec{r}} \cos(3\theta_{\vec{r}}) ,$$

where $H_{\text{Ising}} = -J_{\text{Ising}} \sum_{\langle \vec{r}\vec{r}' \rangle} \tau_{\vec{r}} \tau_{\vec{r}'} - h \sum_{\vec{r}} \tau_{\vec{r}} ,$
 $H_{\text{xy}} = -J_{\text{xy}} \sum_{\langle \vec{r}\vec{r}' \rangle} \cos(\theta_{\vec{r}} - \theta_{\vec{r}'}) - h_6 \sum_{\vec{r}} \cos(6\theta_{\vec{r}}) ,$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

with *h* ∝ *B*. (KD PRL **115** 127204)

Effect of further neighbour couplings on effective coupling strengths

► J_{\(\tau\)} expected to increase if further-neighbour ferromagnetic couplings present.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Phase diagram of effective model



◆ロ▶★舂▶★≧▶★≧▶ 差 のなぐ

The argument...

Start with known phase diagrams of H_{xy} and H_{Ising} and build in effects of $J_{\theta\tau}$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- When τ orders, H_{xy} sees effective three-fold symmetric perturbation h_{3eff} cos(3θ_r) with h_{3eff} ∼ ⟨τ⟩
- ► When $e^{i\theta}$ orders, H_{Ising} sees effective field $h_{\text{eff}}\tau_{\vec{r}}$ with $h_{\text{eff}} \sim \langle \cos(3\theta) \rangle$

The multicritical point

- c-theorem argument: $1 \le c \le \frac{3}{2}$
- To search:

 $J_{xy} = h_6 = 1.0, J_{\theta\tau} = 0.25$ Parametrize: $J_{Ising} = f_{xy}T_{\theta_1}/T_{\tau}$ and $T = f_{l}f_{xy}T_{\theta_1}$ [with $T_{\theta_1} = 1.04$ and $T_{\tau} = 3.6409$]

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Multicritical melting



$$\begin{split} [f_{xy}^{\mathcal{M}}, f_{I}^{\mathcal{M}}] &\approx [1.5570(8), 1.0061(5)]\\ C_{2\theta} \left[C_{3\theta}\right] \text{rescaled by a factor of 7 [factor of 10]}\\ \eta_{3\theta} &= \eta_{\tau} = 0.201(20), \, \eta_{\theta} = 0.258(5), \, \text{and} \, \eta_{2\theta} = 0.353(6).\\ (\text{KD PRL 115 127204}) \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ(?)

Scenario for realizing \mathcal{M} ?

Start with system undergoing three-state Potts transition

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Turn on transverse field to combat further-neighbour ferromagnetic couplings
- Drive system back to two-step melting via M???

Not entirely fanciful?

- Artificial Kagome Ice
- Classical triangular lattice Ising models with further neighbour ferromagnetic couplings
 Caveat: Extreme D >> J limit associated with strongly one-dimensional geometries.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

New systems with more two-dimensional character?

Acknowledgements

- Sounak Biswas and Geet Rakala (Quantum cluster algorithm)
- Geet Rakala (Dual worm algorithms for frustrated Ising models)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Deepak Dhar (Statistical mechanics of worms)

Dual worm algorithm for frustrated Ising models

- ▶ Represent system in terms of dual bond variables → generalized dimer interacting model
- Design efficient worm update for dimer variables
- Precursor: For T → 0, used previously in multiple contexts. S > 3/2 Kagome and triangular lattice easy-axis antiferromagnets in T = 0 limit (Sen *et. al.* PRL **102**, 227001) (More recently: T = 0 limit of frustrated triangular lattice Ising models (Smerald, Korshunov, Mila PRL **116** 197201)) (For T > 0 unfrustrated systems: original work of Alet *et. al.* (2003))

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

New ingredient—I



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

New ingredient—II



Analytical theory of worm statistics—I



Analytical theory for worm statistics—III



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □