Defect-induced fractional spins in SCGO

Kedar Damle, Tata Institute, Mumbai Toulouse Mini-Workshop Toulouse, July 21, 2011.

Collaborators: Arnab Sen (Boston U.) Roderich Moessner (Dresden) Discussions: D. Dhar, C. Henley, & P. Mendels Reference- Phys Rev Lett. **106**, 127203 (2011).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Frustrated magnets and spin liquids

Antiferromagnetic exchange interactions of magnetic ions in insulators:

 $E = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \quad J > 0$

 When is J>0, large? Difficult (quauntum chemistry) question, with thumb-rule answer: Goodenough-Kanamori-Anderson rules J.B. Goodenough, *Magnetism and the Chemical Bond (1963)* (exceptions known, *e.g.* Oles *et. al.* 2006, Stuttgart group)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• Triangles \rightarrow *Frustrated* antiferromagnetism

Competing interactions frustrate Neel order

Spin liquids

- 'Quenching' of exchange allows new physics to take center-stage: Spin liquids
- Macroscopic degeneracy of *classical* minimum energy configurations.
- At intermediate T < J, spin correlations reflect this macroscopic degeneracy:

No Bragg peaks in structure factor \rightarrow correlated liquid state

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Impurities as probes



Alloul et. al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 45 (2009).

 Impurities can be useful probes of interesting low temperature states of matter—Zn doping in cuprates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Impurities as probes



From Tedoldi et. al. 1999

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 Non-magnetic impurities that cut spin-chains in quasi-1dimensional systems.

Impurities as probes



Checkerboard around vortex-from Seamus Davis group

- Impurities change the state of system in immediate vicinity—Changes can be picked up by local probes such as STM
- Particularly interesting if system has 'nearby' competing ground-states Impurities can locally 'seed' a competing ground state with different ordering and symmetry properties

Our focus: SCGO

Particularly interesting:

Impurities can pick up 'hidden' correlations of the low temperature state and encode them as intricate charge/spin textures

 In this talk: Non-magnetic Ga impurities in pyrochlore slab magnet SCGO

 \rightarrow Defect-induced spin textures encoding correlations of a classical spin liquid

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Cast of characters: SCGO and its Galling defects



Idealized SrCr₉Ga₃O₁₉ unrealizable. \rightarrow Instead: SrCr_{9p}Ga_{12-9p}O₁₉ $J_{\text{bilayer}} \approx 80K J_{\text{dimers}} \approx 200K \text{ Limot et al PRB 02}$

・ロン ・ 雪 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ ・

Some chemistry: Where do the Ga go?

- Slight bias towards 4f sites
 Break isolated dimers
- Close runners-up are 12k sites
 And substitute into upper or lower Kagome layers
- Significantly lower probability of going to the 2a sites Rarely substitute for 'apical' spins

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

(neutron diffraction, quoted in Limot et. al. 2002)

What was seen?

- Broad spin liquid regime down to T ~ Θ_{CW}/100 (Θ_{CW} ≈ 500K)
- Macroscopic susceptibility has 'defect contribution' $\chi_{def} = C_d/T$, with $C_d \propto (1 - p) \equiv x$ Attributed to 'orphan-spin population', Schiffer-Daruka (97)
- Broad, apparently symmetric Ga NMR line, with broadening ΔH ∝ A(x)/T and A(x) ∼ x for not-too-small x.

Attributed to a short-ranged oscillating spin density near defects, Limot *et. al.* (2000,2002)

Some theory: Single-unit analysis

- Correlations beyond near-neighbours can perhaps be ignored in a short-ranged spin liquid
 Single-unit approximation.
- Defective simplices (with all but one spin removed) give Curie tail; no other simplices contribute to Curie tail.
- Identify the 'orphan population' of Schiffer and Daruka with defective simplices in diluted lattice

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Moessner-Berlinksy (1999)

Some theory: T = 0 Simplex satisfaction

$$H = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\boxtimes} (\sum_{i \in \boxtimes} \vec{S}_i - \frac{\mathbf{h}}{2J})^2 + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\bigtriangleup} (\sum_{i \in \bigtriangleup} \vec{S}_i - \frac{\mathbf{h}}{2J})^2$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Absolute minimum of energy is achievable:
 If no symmetry breaking: S^z_{Kag} = h/6J, S^z_{apical} = 0
 (for h = h2̂)
 Henley (2000)

Relies on constructing states that also satisfy $\vec{S}_i^2 = S^2$ for *h* not-to-large.

Some theory: Half-orphans



• Single Ga on any simplex \rightarrow no problem with simplex satisfaction

▶ If two Ga in one $\triangle \rightarrow \triangle$ has only one spin $\langle S_{\text{tot}}^z \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{simplices}} \langle S_{\text{simplices}}^z \rangle = S/2 = 3/4!$ (at $T = 0, h/J \rightarrow 0$) *Half*-Orphan spins Henley (2000)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



$$\sum_{i\in \boxtimes} S_i^{lpha} = rac{h^{lpha}}{2J} \quad ext{and} \quad \sum_{i\in \bigtriangleup} S_i^{lpha} = rac{h^{lpha}}{2J}$$

- ► E^α_i = S^α_iê_i, (Unit vector ê_i points along the dual bond from dual + sublattice to dual – sublattice.)
- Simplex satisfaction at $h = 0 \rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\alpha} = 0$ at T = 0.
- On defective simplex: $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{\alpha})_{\triangle} = S^{\alpha}_{\text{orphan}} \hat{e}_{\text{orphan}}$
- ▶ But T = 0 Gauss law $\rightarrow 1/\vec{r}$ decay of T = 0 induced spin-texture.

We ask: Are there "really" fractional spins at T > 0?

Simplex satisfaction *a* la Henley is inherently a T = 0 statement Putting entropic effects on same footing as energetics:

- In pure problem: Large N theory known to be very accurate Garanin & Canals, 1999; Isakov et. al. 2004
- ► Effective field theory $Z \propto \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} \exp(-\mathcal{F}/T)$ Free-energy functional $\mathcal{F} = E - TS$ with $E = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\boxtimes} (\sum_{i \in \boxtimes} \vec{\phi}_i - \frac{\mathbf{h}}{2J})^2 + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\bigtriangleup} (\sum_{i \in \bigtriangleup} \vec{\phi}_i - \frac{\mathbf{h}}{2J})^2$ statistical weight $S \propto \left(-\frac{\rho_1}{2} \sum_{i \in \text{Kagome}} \vec{\phi}_i^2 - \frac{\rho_2}{2} \sum_{i \in \text{apical}} \vec{\phi}_i^2\right)$

 ρ_1 and ρ_2 phenomenological parameters Use values that satisfy $\langle \vec{\phi}_i^2 \rangle = S^2$ (Gaussian theory \rightarrow Independent effective action for each spin component)

Modeling the half-orphans in effective field theory

- Ga substitution implies constraint $\vec{\phi}_{Ga} = 0$
- Lone spin on defective triangle needs to be handled carefully: Retain as a classical spin S variable Sn (with n a unit vector).
- Integrate out other fields and derive magnetization curve of Sn with field h = h2.
 For for h ≪ JS, T ≪ JS² but arbitrary hS/T, prediction: S⟨n^z⟩(h, T) = SB(hS/2T)

(SB(hS/2T) is the classical magnetization curve of single spin S in field h/2)

Test: Can compare classical monte-carlo "experiment" with effective field theory prediction.

Lone spin magnetization



Effective theory works well at low temperature

Spin texture

- The lone-spin polarization SB(hS/2T) serves as the 'source' for $\vec{\phi}_i$.
- Effective theory gives prediction for defect induced spin-texture $\langle S_i^z \rangle(h, T) = \langle \phi_i^z \rangle(h, T)$ and defect-induced impurity moment M_{imp}

Can test against Monte-Carlo "experiment"

Check: Fractional spin is real



- $\chi_{imp}(T)$ fits Curie law $S_{eff}^2/3T$ with $S_{eff} = S/2$
- Full magnetization curve of impurity-induced magnetization predicted correctly.

Check: Intricate spin texture



Simple effective theory works well

From texture to Ga(4f) NMR line



Averaging over 12 Cr spins 'loses information'

Field swept NMR line gives histogram of *h* satisfying $\gamma_N(h + Ag_L\mu_B \sum_{i \in Ga(4f)} \langle S_i^z \rangle) = \omega_{NMR}$ for each Ga(4f) nucleus in lattice

All parameters known from experiment

Ga NMR lineshape



Finite vacancy density $x = 0.3 \rightarrow$ Incorporate interactions between spin textures via Monte-Carlo simulation

Isolated vacancies to not contribute to Curie term



・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

э

Isolated vacancies cannot account for $\Delta H \propto 1/T$

Comparison with experiment



Theory (x = 0.2 dashed, x = 0.3 solid) vs experiment (x = 0.19 dots, Limot 2002)

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

 $\Delta H \sim \mathcal{A}(x)/T$ captured correctly $\mathcal{A}(x) \sim x$ for not-too-small *x* captured correctly(!) But independent dilution produces too few defective triangles $(\mathcal{O}(x^2)$ for small enough *x*)

Verdict(?)

- Detailed understanding of the physics of spin-textures in SCGO.
- Reliable description of defect-induced fractional moments

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

But: Disorder modeling too simplistic. Correlations between vacancies, bond-disorder...?

The road ahead: Natural 'spin'-offs.



Entropic interactions between 'orphan' spins Collective behaviour of finite density of 'orphan' textures Glassy low temperature state?

Uphill task: Putting in quantum effects (with S. Sanyal)

(日)



Mean field theory Series expansions Mapping to "Kondo" physics (???)

Support

- Computational resources of TIFR.
- DST (India) grant funding.
- Fell-Fund (Oxford), ICTS (Mumbai), ARCUS (Orsay), and MPIPKS (Dresden) support for collaborative visits.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)