Initial conditions in heavy ion collisions Lecture II: Leading order particle production

Tuomas Lappi IPhT, CEA/Saclay tuomas.lappi@cea.fr

Goa, September 2008

Outline

- Brief introduction: why classical fields?
- Leading order classical field, 1 nucleus
- 2 colliding nuclei
 - Initial condition
 - Weak field limit
 - Classical Hamiltonian chromodynamics on the lattice and in an expanding system
 - Some numerical results



Heavy ion collision: spacetime picture

- 1. Initial condition $\tau = 0$

- 4. Hadronisation $\tau \sim 10 \text{fm}$
- 3. Plasma (?) $au_0 \lesssim au \lesssim 10 {
 m fm}$

 $au = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$ $\eta = rac{1}{2} \ln rac{x^+}{x^-}$

Light cone coordinates: $x^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t \pm z)$





Proper time coordinates:

What happens on the line $\eta = 0$, t > 0?

Gluon saturation, Glass and Glasma

Gluon saturation: At large energies (small x) the hadron/nucleus wavefunction is characterized by saturation scale $Q_s \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$.

At $p \sim Q_s$: strong gluon fields $A_\mu \sim 1/g$ large occupation numbers $\sim 1/\alpha_s$ classical field approximation.

CGC: The saturated wavefunction of one hadron/nucleus Effective theory description with large

x =source, small x =radiated classical gluon field.

Glasma: ^[1] The coherent, classical field configuration of two colliding sheets of CGC.

• To see high gluon density effects: go to small x and large nuclei.

[1] T. Lappi and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A772 (2006) 200 [hep-ph/0602189].





Weizsäcker-Williams color field, MV model

Separation of scales between small x and large x:

classical field

color charge

 $[D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^{\nu}$

$$J^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu +} \rho_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \delta(x^{-}) + \delta^{\mu -} \rho_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \delta(x^{+})$$



What is the charge density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$? A static (glass!) stochastic variable, distribution

$W_y[ho(oldsymbol{x})]$

E.g. MV model ^[2]: $W[\rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})] \sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^2\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}\rho^a(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})\rho^a(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})/g^2\mu^2\right]$

Cannot compute $W_y[\rho(\mathbf{x})]$ from first principles, but can derive evolution equation for $y = \ln 1/x$ -dependence: **JIMWLK**. Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner \blacktriangleright More in next lecture

[2] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, *Phys. Rev.* D49 (1994) 2233 [hep-ph/9309289].

Field of one nucleus

Current $J^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu +} \rho(\mathbf{x}, x^{-})$ (relax δ -function but still no x^{+} -dependence. EoM has solution

$$A^{+}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, x^{-}) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{T}^{2}} \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, x^{-}), \quad A^{-} = A^{i} = 0$$

This is known the **covariant gauge** field; transform to **light cone gauge** $A^+ = 0$:

$$A^{+} \Rightarrow U^{\dagger}(\underline{x}, x^{-}) \frac{\rho(\underline{x}, x^{-})}{\nabla_{T}^{2}} U(\underline{x}, x^{-}) - \frac{i}{g} U^{\dagger}(\underline{x}, x^{-}) \partial_{-} U(\underline{x}, x^{-}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$A^{-} \Rightarrow -\frac{i}{g} U^{\dagger}(\underline{x}, x^{-}) \partial_{+} U(\underline{x}, x^{-}) = 0, \text{ still}$$

$$A^{i} \Rightarrow \frac{i}{g} U^{\dagger}(\underline{x}, x^{-}) \partial_{i} U(\underline{x}, x^{-})$$

(1) is solved by a **path ordered exponential** or **Wilson line**

$$U^{\dagger}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, x^{-}) = \mathbb{P} \exp \left[-ig \int^{x^{-}} \mathrm{d}y^{-} \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}, y^{-}) / \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{T}^{2} \right]$$

Note: A^i expressed in terms of **covariant** gauge source

Fields look very different in different gauges



LC: $A_i \sim \theta(x^-)$ is discontinuous, but not singular, and lives above the light cone

Large extent in x^- , small k^+ , small $x_{Bj} \triangleright$ uncertainty principle works in LC gauge, this is how one would quantize.

But field strength tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ is of course the same (up to a gauge rotation), only nonzero components

$$F_{
m cov.}^{+i} = \partial_i A_{
m cov.}^+ \sim \delta(x^-)$$

Both E_{\perp} (F^{0i}) and B_{\perp} (F^{zi}) fields.





From glass to glasma: initial condition

Following KMW ^[3]: work in Fock-Schwinger/temporal gauge $A_{\tau} = (x^+A^- + x^-A^-)/\tau = 0$ consistent with LC gauge solutions for both nuclei. Ansatz:

$$A_{i} = \overbrace{A_{i}^{(1)}\theta(-x^{+})\theta(x^{-}) + A_{i}^{(2)}\theta(x^{+})\theta(-x^{-})}^{\text{known}} + A_{i}^{(3)}\theta(x^{+})\theta(x^{-})$$

$$A^{\pm} = \pm \theta(x^{+})\theta(x^{-})x^{\pm}A^{\eta}$$

Insert into EoM and match δ -functions

initial condition for region (3):

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^{(3)}|_{\tau=0} &= A_i^{(1)} + A_i^{(2)} \\ A^{\eta}|_{\tau=0} &= \frac{ig}{2} \left[A_i^{(1)}, A_i^{(2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$



[3] A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran and H. Weigert, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 3809 [hep-ph/9505320].

Glasma field after the collision



Initial condition is longitudinal E and B field, depending on transverse coordinate with correlation length $1/Q_{\rm s}.$

Effective electric and magnetic charge densities.



Gauss law and Bianchi:

$$[D_i, E^i] = 0, \quad [D_i, B^i] = 0$$

Separate nonabelian parts:

$$\partial_i E^i = ig[A^i, E^i], \quad \partial_i B^i = ig[A^i, B^i]$$

Digression: gluon production weak field limit

Introduce:
$$\Lambda_{(m)}(\underline{x}) = -g \frac{\rho_{(m)}(\underline{x})}{\nabla_T^2}$$
, i.e. $gA_{cov}^+ = \delta(x^-)\Lambda_{(1)}$, $gA_{cov}^- = \delta(x^+)\Lambda_{(2)}$

Note: covariant gauge field Λ is dimensionless (dimension of A^{\pm} is in $\delta(x^{\mp})$); our expansion parameter.

Initial conditions:

$$A_{i}(0, \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -\frac{\partial_{i}}{g} \left(\Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) + \Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right) + \frac{i}{2g} \left[\partial_{i} \Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}), \Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right] + \frac{i}{2g} \left[\partial_{i} \Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}), \Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right]$$
(2)
$$A^{\eta}(0, \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -\frac{i}{2g} \left[\partial_{i} \Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}), \partial_{i} \Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right].$$

Fix 2d Coulomb gauge Removes leading order "Abelian pure gauge" part and (2) becomes

$$A_{i}^{\text{Coul}}(0, \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \frac{i}{2g} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_{i}\partial_{j}}{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{T}^{2}} \right) \left(\left[\Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}), \partial_{j}\Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right] + \left[\Lambda_{(2)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}), \partial_{j}\Lambda_{(1)}(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right] \right).$$

Weak field limit 2: Bertsch-Gunion

Linearized equations are now
free wave propagation

$$(A_{\eta} \equiv -\tau^{2}A^{\eta}) \qquad \left(\tau^{2}\partial_{\tau}^{2} + \tau\partial_{\tau} + \tau^{2}\underline{k}^{2}\right)A_{i}(\tau,\underline{k}) = 0$$

$$(A_{\eta} \equiv -\tau^{2}A^{\eta}) \qquad \left(\tau^{2}\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \tau\partial_{\tau} + \tau^{2}\underline{k}^{2}\right)A_{\eta}(\tau,\underline{k}) = 0.$$
with solutions

$$A_{i}(\tau,\underline{k}) = A_{i}(0,\underline{k})J_{0}(|\underline{k}|\tau) \qquad A_{\eta}(\tau,\underline{k}) = \frac{\tau}{|\underline{k}|}\pi(0,\underline{k})J_{1}(|\underline{k}|\tau).$$
Hamiltonian \blacktriangleright average over sources $\rho \succ$ identify $\frac{E}{d\eta} = \int d^{2}\underline{q}|\underline{q}|\frac{dN}{d^{2}\underline{q}\,dy} \blacktriangleright$

$$\frac{dN}{d^{2}\underline{q}\,dy} = g^{2}\frac{\pi R_{A}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}}\frac{N_{c}(N_{c}^{2}-1)}{\pi}\frac{1}{\underline{q}^{2}}\int_{\underline{k}_{1},\underline{k}_{2}}\frac{\varphi(\underline{k}_{1})}{g^{2}\underline{k}_{1}^{2}}\frac{\varphi(\underline{k}_{2})}{g^{2}\underline{k}_{2}^{2}}\delta^{2}(\underline{q}-\underline{k}_{1}-\underline{k}_{2})$$

Power counting: $g^2 = 4\pi \alpha_{
m s}$ in front, $g^2 \mu \sim Q_{
m s} \sim \alpha_{
m s}^0, \, \varphi({\bf k}) \sim 1/\alpha_{
m s}$

Weak field limit 3: diagram in LC gauge

How about the same with diagrams?

- **Problem:** $A_{\tau} = 0$ -gauge Feynman rules horrible in momentum space.
- Solution: Cheat and use different LC propagators for different lines. Only justified a posteriori.

$$\begin{array}{c}
J_{(1)}^{+} \\
D^{-i}(k_{1}) \\
\Gamma_{ij\mu}(k_{1}, k_{2}) \\
Q \\
Q \\
J_{(2)}^{+j}(k_{2}) \\
J_{(2)}^{-} \\
\end{array}$$

$$k_1 = (k_1^+, 0, {m k}_1) \quad k_2 = (0, k_2, {m k}_2) \quad q = (k_1^+, k_2^-, {m k}_1 + {m k}_2), \quad q^2 = 0$$

$$D^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-i}{k^2} \left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^{\mu}k^{\nu} + k^{\mu}n^{\nu}}{n \cdot k} \right) \qquad n \cdot A = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{ij\mu}(k_1, k_2) \sim g_{ij}(k_1 - k_2)_{\mu} + g_{j\mu}(2k_2 + k_1)_i + g_{i\mu}(-2k_1 - k_2)_j$$

2 indep. final state polarisations μ

- $A^+(q)A^-(q)$ (cf A_η)
- $(\delta^{ij} \frac{q^i q^j}{\underline{q}^2})A^i(q)$

- Only one diagram, because of gauge choice
- Vertex and propagator complicated: only funny nondiagonal part contributes
- Multi-Regge kinematics:only p in t-channel propagators because $k_1^- = k_2^+ = 0 \stackrel{\sim}{\blacktriangleright} k_1^2 = \stackrel{\sim}{k}_1^2$

Weak field limit 4: diagrams in covariant gauge

Used for analytical/momentum space computations, Kovchegov and Rischke^[4]



$$A^{\mu}(q) = J^{+}_{(1)}(k_1)J^{-}_{(2)}(k_2)rac{g^{+-}}{{oldsymbol k}_1^2}rac{g^{+-}}{{oldsymbol k}_1^2}C^{\mu}(k_1,k_2),$$

- Many diagrams, but leading high energy magically simplifies into effective Lipatov vertex C^{μ}
- Propagator simple
- Only \pm -component propagating down the t-channel
- $C^{\mu}C_{\mu}$ kills half of the *t*-channel propagators





Numerical solution of the eom's



- Hamiltonian formalism
- Dimensionally reduced to 2+1d, $\blacktriangleright~p_z\sim 1/ au$
- Calculate energy (easy in Hamiltonian formalism) and multiplicity (by decomposing the field in Fourier modes)

 $U_{\mu\nu}($

Lattice Hamiltonian formulation

Krasnitz and Venugopalan,^[5] Fields independent of $\eta \ge 2 + 1$ -dimensional theory with Hamiltonian (energy per unit rapidity) on a transverse lattice:

$$H = \sum_{\underline{x}} \left\{ \frac{g^2}{\tau} \operatorname{Tr} E^i E^i + \frac{2N_c \tau}{a^2 g^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} U_{\perp} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\tau}{a^2} \operatorname{Tr} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\phi - \tilde{\phi}_i \right)^2 \right\} \\ \left. x + e_{\nu} \qquad x + e_{\mu} + e_{\nu} \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\phi}_i(\underline{x}) \equiv U_i(\underline{x}) \phi(\underline{x} + \underline{e}_i) U_i^{\dagger}(\underline{x}). \right. \\ \left. \phi \equiv A_{\eta} \quad U_i = e^{igaA_i} \quad E^i = \tau \dot{A}_i \quad \pi = \dot{\phi} / \tau \\ \left. U_{\perp}(\underline{x}) \equiv U_{xy}(\underline{x}) \\ U_{\mu\nu}(\underline{x}) = U_x(\underline{x}) U_y(\underline{x} + e_x) U_x^{\dagger}(\underline{x} + e_x + e_y) U_y^{\dagger}(\underline{x} + e_y) \right. \\ \left. x = U_{\mu\nu}(\underline{x}) = U_x(\underline{x}) U_y(\underline{x} + e_x) U_x^{\dagger}(\underline{x} + e_x + e_y) U_y^{\dagger}(\underline{x} + e_y) \right.$$

A. Krasnitz and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. B557 (1999) 237 [hep-ph/9809433]. [5]

Dof count:

One has a 2+1-dimensional gauge field theory with an adjoint scalar field ϕ . The physical degrees of freedom are:

Fields	dofs	Momenta	dofs
A_i^a	16	E^a_i	16
Gauge condition	- 8	Gauss law	- 8
ϕ^a	8	π^a	8
Total	16		16

Parameters:

- coupling g
- source density μ^2
- πR_A^2

The numerics essentially depends on a single dimensionless parameter

$$g^4 \pi R_A^2 \mu^2 = \pi R_A^2 \Lambda_s^2.$$

Multiplicity: technicalities

One possibility: define particle number using only the electric field & energy equipartition

$$H \approx 2\sum_{\underline{x}} \frac{g^2}{\tau} \operatorname{Tr} E^i(\underline{x}) E^i(\underline{x}) + \frac{\tau}{a^2} \operatorname{Tr} \pi(\underline{x}) \pi(\underline{x})$$
$$= \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{\underline{k}} \frac{g^2}{\tau} \operatorname{Tr} E^i(\underline{k}) E^i(-\underline{k}) + \frac{\tau}{a^2} \operatorname{Tr} \pi(\underline{k}) \pi(-\underline{k})$$
$$n(\underline{k}) = \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \left[\frac{g^2}{2\tau} E^a_i(\underline{k}) E^a_i(-\underline{k}) + \frac{\tau}{2} \pi^a(\underline{k}) \pi^a(-\underline{k}) \right]$$

Not gauge invariant, fix Coulomb gauge.

Dispersion relation

For the energy and multiplicity one can safely assume a free lattice dispersion relation^[6]

$$\omega(\underline{k}) = \widetilde{k} = 2\sqrt{\sin^2 k_x/2 + \sin^2 k_y/2}$$

One can verify this assumption by looking at the correlators

$$\omega_{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{\langle E_{i}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) E_{i}^{a}(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle}{\langle A_{i}^{a}(\mathbf{k}) A_{i}^{a}(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle}}$$
$$\omega_{\phi}(\mathbf{k}) = \tau \sqrt{\frac{\langle \pi^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \pi^{a}(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle}{\langle \phi^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \phi^{a}(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle}}$$



[6] T. Lappi, *Phys. Rev.* C67 (2003) 054903 [hep-ph/0303076].

Mass gap

However the free dispersion relation does not persist down to very low $\underbrace{k}_{\widetilde{k}}$: For small $\underbrace{k}_{\widetilde{k}}$ there is a "plasmon mass" gap ^[7]

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.4$$

$$m^2 \sim g^2 \mu / \tau.$$

[7] A. Krasnitz and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1717 [hep-ph/0007108].

Results for multiplicity, energy

Dimensionless ratios

$$f_E = \frac{\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}\eta}{g^4\mu^3\pi R_A^2} \quad f_N = \frac{\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}\eta}{g^2\mu^2\pi R_A^2}$$

For strong enough fields $g^2 \mu R_A \gtrsim 50$ these are \sim constant and depend only weakly on lattice spacing (UV finite):



... Numerical results

The energy is distributed between the different field components and almost constant after a very short time $\sim \frac{1}{g^2\mu}$. This means that $\varepsilon \sim \tau^{-1}$.





The differential multiplicity has a perturbative tail $\sim \frac{1}{k^4}$ but is infrared finite. The spectrum is not thermal (at these timescales).

How good is k_T -factorization? $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}^2\boldsymbol{p}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{p}^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \phi(\boldsymbol{k}) \phi(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{k})$

- Use $\phi^{WW}(\underline{k}) \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{r}_T}{\mathbf{r}_T^2} e^{i \underline{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_T} \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{r}_T/2) U(\mathbf{r}_T/2)$ in stead of $\phi(\underline{k}) \sim \underline{k}^2 \int \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{r}_T e^{i \underline{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_T} \operatorname{Tr} U^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{r}_T/2) U(\mathbf{r}_T/2)$
- Add cutoff $|{m k}| < |{m p}|$



Phenomenology, what is ${\it Q}_{\rm s}$ at RHIC

RHIC @ 130/200 GeV: ¹ $\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{tot}}}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \approx 1000 \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}E_T}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \approx 600 \mathrm{GeV}$

Relating initial state (calculated) to final state (measured), different scenarios:



First scenario agrees to within 10% with detailed comparison to HERA fits+nuclear geometry ^[8,9]

 1 Total, including neutral particles. At this level of approximation, $N_{\rm ch}\approx\frac{2}{3}N_{\rm tot}$

[9] T. Lappi, Eur. Phys. J. C55 (2008) 285 [0711.3039].

^[8] H. Kowalski, T. Lappi and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 022303 [0705.3047].

LHC multiplicity

The prediction for LHC depends (almost entirely) on energy dependence of Q_s . LO: $Q_s^2 \sim x^{-\lambda} = e^{\lambda \ln 1/x}$, NLO: $Q_s^2 \sim e^{C\sqrt{\ln 1/x}}$; DIS fits vary between

$$Q_{\rm s}^2 \sim x^{-0.2} \cdots x^{-0.3}$$
 \blacktriangleright $\frac{{\rm d}N}{{\rm d}\eta} \sim Q_{\rm s}^2 \pi R_A^2 \sim \sqrt{s}^{0.2} \cdots \sqrt{s}^{0.3}$



Giving up boost invariance: plasma instabilities

Romatschke & Venugopalan^[10,11]

Allow for η -dependence of modes: plasma instability. Growth rate related to the "plasmon mass" $\Gamma\sim\sqrt{g^2\mu/\tau}$



François' lecture IV

[10] P. Romatschke and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 062302 [hep-ph/0510121].

[11] P. Romatschke and R. Venugopalan, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 045011 [hep-ph/0605045].

Conclusions

- Leading order classical field, 1 nucleus
- 2 colliding nuclei
 - Initial condition
 - Weak field limit
 - Some numerical results: RHIC numbers work out well so far

Next lecture: going beyong LO.





