Blown away relative velocities and the first galaxies

Luke Conaboy

with thanks to Ilian Iliev (Sussex), Anastasia Fialkov (Cambridge), Keri Dixon (NYUAD) and David Sullivan (Sussex)

24/11/23

luke.conaboy@nottingham.ac.uk

TIFR SOTU (online)



Redshift -



John H. Wise (Georgia Tech)

- Hydrogen recombines at $z \approx 1090$
- Prior to this, baryons are tightly coupled to photons in a plasma
- Dark matter free to gravitationally collapse



Redshift ⊢



John H. Wise (Georgia Tech)

- After recombination, we enter the Dark Ages
- Baryons begin falling into dark matter potential wells





John H. Wise (Georgia Tech)

- First luminous sources form at $z \sim 30 15$
- This first generation of stars likely live in molecularcooled minihaloes and are very short lived



John H. Wise (Georgia Tech)

- First galaxies form in $\, \sim \, 10^8 \ {\rm M_{\odot}}$ atomic-cooling haloes
- Begin to ionise the neutral hydrogen gas in earnest



Wise (2019)

• Ionised bubbles overlap at $z \sim 6$ and the Universe is now almost totally ionised

CO.

Brief history



 Ionisation maintained for the rest of cosmic history by metagalactic UV background



John H. Wise (Georgia Tech)

Wise (2019)

- Today, we will focus on the period from recombination to $z\approx 10$

First structures



Barkana & Loeb (2001)

First stars



Feedback

Greif+ (2010)



From top to bottom: Width: 100 kpc (comoving) $\Delta t = 15, 100, 300$ Myr Inlays: 10 kpc (comoving)

- The first stars end their life in powerful SNe, polluting their surroundings with metals
- •This suppresses further formation of metal-free stars

Feedback



•First stars also produce H₂dissociating Lyman-Werner radiation

 Prevent cooling in lowmass haloes

Schauer+ (2021)

Origin of $v_{\rm bc}$



not to scale!

Origin of $v_{\rm bc}$



not to scale!

$$v_{\rm bc} = |\mathbf{v}_{\rm b} - \mathbf{v}_{\rm c}| \quad \mathcal{M} = v_{\rm bc}/c_s \sim 5$$

Suppression

 Baryons advect out of potential wells created by dark matter, raising minimum halo mass for gas-rich haloes



Naoz, Yoshida & Gnedin (2013)

Suppression



Scale of the problem



 $v_{\rm bc}$ variance per ln k from CAMB (see Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010)

Scale of the problem



cf. Pontzen+ (2020)



O'Leary & McQuinn (2012)



z = 15

Schauer, Glover, Klessen & Clark (2021)

First stars

•Raises minimum mass of star-forming haloes, particularly important for large v_{bc} at high-z



Greif, White, Klessen & Springel (2011)

Stacy, Bromm & Loeb (2011)

Gas-rich objects



Popa, Naoz, Marinacci & Vogelsberger (2015)

Can induce the formation of bound gas-rich objects outside of a host DM halo

generate ICs at z_{ini} with MUSIC using TFs from CAMB

compute $v_{\rm bc}$ in ICs

integrate equations for $\delta_{c}, \delta_{b}, v_{c}, v_{b}, \delta_{T}$ from z_{dec} to z_{ini} with and without v_{bc}

compensate for missing effect of $v_{\rm bc}$ in ICs by convolving with "bias factor" $b = \delta_{v_{\rm bc}} / \delta_{\rm no \ v_{\rm bc}}$

 $v_{\rm bc}$ -rec

v_{bc}-ini

- Generating ICs using transfer functions with separate amplitudes for baryons and dark matter gives v_{bc} but only from the start time of the simulation
- Effect on perturbations



Ratio of velocity transfer functions from CAMB

- now we have v_{bc} at the simulation start time (v_{bc} —ini), but what about suppression throughout Dark Ages?
- we compute an (isotropic) bias factor to compensate for the missing baryon suppression in ICs ($v_{\rm bc}$ —rec)



Comparison to previous works (HMF)

- 512³ particles in 700 kpc box, boosted $\sigma_8=1.4$
- Apply $v_{\rm bc}$ as a uniform wind across the box
- Compute decrement as

$$\Delta_N = N_{v_{bc}}/N_{\rm no~v_{bc}} - 1 \text{ where}$$
$$N = N(>M) \text{ is the cumulative}$$
mass function

• Good agreement to within 1σ Poisson uncertainties (shaded)



Comparison to previous works (HMF)



- Slight difference in shape may be due (at least in part) to slightly different choices in cosmology
- Watson+ (2013) is a functional form of HMF fit to *N*-body simulations over range of mass scales and redshifts and $\Delta_N=N_{\rm NGY12}/N_{\rm ours}-1$

Comparison to previous works (f_b)

 Same simulation as for HMF, this time looking at baryon fraction

 $f_{\rm b} = M_{\rm g}/M_{\rm tot}$

 Good agreement, though some deviation at low masses (could be due to AMR v SPH, FoF/SO vs AHF halo finding, ...?)



Grey: Naoz, Yoshida & Gnedin (2013) Red: LC+ (2023)



low resolution

high resolution



low resolution

high resolution



 $\begin{array}{l} 400 \ h^{-1} \ \mathrm{Mpc} \\ \text{parent box} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} 100 \ h^{-1} \ \mathrm{Mpc} \\ \text{sub-box} \end{array}$ $< 1 \ h^{-1} \ \mathrm{Mpc} \\ \text{zoom region} \end{array}$

50 h⁻¹ Mpc



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{small-scale} \\ \text{fluctuations in} \\ \delta_{\rm b} \ \text{get} \\ \text{washed out} \end{array}$

less dramatic for v_i since most power is in large scales

Baryon fraction



• suppression of 46% at high-z, decreases to 23% by $z \approx 11$

- average delay in formation of *n*th star particle
- *v*_{bc}—ini: 19 Myr
- *v*_{bc}—rec: 35 Myr
- of order the lifetime of a
 9 M_☉
 Population III star (~20 Myr)





Iconaboy/drft

Summary

- We performed the first cosmological zoom simulations to self-consistently sample v_{bc} from a large box
- We found that baryon fraction and star formation is suppressed in the case where $v_{\rm bc}$ is included, consistent with results in the literature
- This methodology could be used to explore the effect of variation in $v_{\rm bc}$ local variation on e.g. star formation and chemical enrichment