

Lecture 11. 1/10/09.

In this lecture we will consider "vacuum solutions" of the Einstein eqns, namely solutions ~~with~~ $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0 \Rightarrow R = 0 \Rightarrow R_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Our reasons for doing so are:

- (i) it's the simplest case (later we will introduce specific $T_{\mu\nu}$'s, Λ , etc)
- (ii) it can describe the geometry of spacetime outside any localised matter distribution.

For comparison for a spherically symmetric body
~~By analogy~~, we have electrostatic potentials

$$\phi_e \sim \frac{e}{r}$$

and gravitational potentials

$$\phi_g \sim -\frac{GM}{r}$$

which solve $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi_e = 0$ or $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi_g = 0$
outside the charge or matter distribution.

The second example, and the Newtonian correspondence, actually tells us an approximate soln ^{the vacuum} to Einstein eqns. We have that Φ in ~~the~~ Newtonian theory is approximately

$$ds^2 = -(1+2\Phi)dt^2 + (1-2\Phi)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

Therefore there must be an approximate soln:

$$ds^2 \sim -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{r}\right) (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2)$$

valid at large r . Let us rewrite this approx soln by defining

$$r' = \left(1 + \frac{GM}{r}\right)r = r + GM$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } r'^2 &= (r + GM)^2 \sim r^2 + 2GM r \\ &= r^2 \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } dr' &= dr, \quad 1 + \frac{2GM}{r} = 1 + \frac{2GM}{r' - GM} \\ &\approx 1 + \frac{2GM}{r'} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } ds^2 &\sim -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dr^2 \\ &\quad + r^2 d\Omega^2 \end{aligned}$$

(where we have dropped the prime on r').

How do we extend this to an exact solution of Einstein's eqn valid for finite r , not just $r \gg GM$?

~~Notice that~~ The approximate solution above is spherically symmetric. Let us then assume the most general spherically symmetric metric:

$$ds^2 = -f_1(r,t) dt^2 + f_2(r,t) dr^2 + f_3(r,t) d\theta^2 + f_4(r,t) (\sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

Now, note that we are allowed coordinate transformations

$$r \rightarrow r'(r,t)$$

$$t \rightarrow t'(r,t)$$

We ^{choose} ~~use~~ these two functions to set $f_2(r,t) = 0$ and $f_4(r,t) = r^2$. Also we re-name

$$f_1 = e^{2\alpha(r,t)}, \quad f_3(r,t) = e^{2\beta(r,t)}. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\alpha(r,t)} dt^2 + e^{2\beta(r,t)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

Note that $t \rightarrow t'(t)$ is still allowed, but is not useful to absorb α since it depends on r as well (it will be useful soon)

$$\text{Now } g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -e^{2\alpha} & & & \\ & e^{2\beta} & & \\ & & r^2 & \\ & & & r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix} \text{ so } g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -e^{-2\alpha} & & & \\ & e^{-2\beta} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{r^2} & \\ & & & \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the Ricci tensor is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^t_t &= g^{00} R^t_{0t0} + g^{\varphi\varphi} R^t_{\varphi t \varphi} + g^{rr} R^t_{rtr} \\
 &= -\frac{2}{r} \alpha' e^{-2\beta} + e^{-2\beta} \left(e^{2(\beta-\alpha)} (\dot{\beta}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) + \ddot{\beta}) + \alpha'\beta' - \alpha'^2 - \alpha'' \right) \\
 &= e^{-2\beta} \left(\alpha'\beta' - \alpha'^2 - \alpha'' - \frac{2}{r} \alpha' \right) \\
 &\quad + e^{-2\alpha} (\dot{\beta}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) + \ddot{\beta})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^r_r &= g^{tt} R^r_{trt} + g^{00} R^r_{0r0} + g^{\varphi\varphi} R^r_{\varphi r \varphi} \\
 &= g^{rr} R^t_{rtr} + \quad + \quad + \quad \\
 &= \cancel{e^{-2\beta} \left(e^{2(\beta-\alpha)} (\dot{\beta}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) + \ddot{\beta}) \right)} \\
 &= e^{-2\alpha} (\dot{\beta}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) + \ddot{\beta}) + e^{-2\beta} (\alpha'\beta' - \alpha'^2 - \alpha'') \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\beta'}{r} e^{-2\beta} \\
 &= e^{-2\beta} (\alpha'\beta' - \alpha'^2 - \alpha'' + \frac{2\beta'}{r}) + e^{-2\alpha} (\dot{\beta}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) + \ddot{\beta})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^\theta_\theta &= g^{rr} R^\theta_{r\theta r} + g^{\varphi\varphi} R^\theta_{\varphi\theta\varphi} + g^{tt} R^\theta_{t\theta t} \\
 &= g^{00} R^r_{0r0} + g^{\varphi\varphi} R^\theta_{\varphi\theta\varphi} + g^{00} R^t_{0t0} \\
 &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(r\beta' e^{-2\beta} - r\alpha' e^{-2\beta} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (1 - e^{-2\beta}) \sin^2 \theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ e^{-2\beta} (r(\beta' - \alpha') - 1) + 1 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^{\varphi}_{\varphi} &= g^{tt} R^{\varphi}_{t\varphi t} + g^{\theta\theta} R^{\varphi}_{\theta\varphi\theta} + g^{rr} R^{\varphi}_{r\varphi r} \\
 &= g^{\varphi\varphi} (R^t_{\varphi t\varphi} + R^{\theta}_{\varphi\theta\varphi} + R^r_{\varphi r\varphi}) \\
 &= R^{\theta}_{\theta} \text{ as one can easily show.}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Finally } R^t_r &= g^{\theta\theta} R^t_{\theta r \theta} + g^{\varphi\varphi} R^t_{\varphi r \varphi} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{r} e^{-2\alpha} \dot{\beta}
 \end{aligned}$$

We want to set $R^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$ for all μ, ν . In particular,

$$R^t_r = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{\beta} = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = \beta(r).$$

Next, the combination $R^t_t - R^r_r = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha' + \beta' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta = f(t)$$

Now under $t \rightarrow t'(t)$, which is still allowed, we have

$$-e^{2\alpha} dt^2 \rightarrow -e^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{dt'}{dt}\right)^2 dt^2$$

which shifts α by an arbitrary f of t . In this way we can make $f(t) = 0$.

Thus $\alpha + \beta = 0$, but since $\dot{\beta} = 0$ it follows that $\dot{\alpha} = 0$.

Hence we have proved that the metric is static!

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\alpha(r)} dt^2 + e^{2\beta(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

$\downarrow$
 $d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$

Next using $R^\theta_\theta = 0$ we have:

$$2r e^{2\alpha} \alpha' + e^{2\alpha} = 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } (r e^{2\alpha})' = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow r e^{2\alpha} = r - \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{const.}}}{r_0}$$

$$\therefore e^{2\alpha} = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$$

But we have already seen that for large r ,

$$e^{2\alpha} \approx 1 - \frac{2GM}{r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{r_0 = 2GM}$$

where M is the mass of the matter distribution outside which we have determined the metric.

$$\text{Finally } \beta = -\alpha \Rightarrow e^{2\beta} = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}}$$

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

→ Schwarzschild metric.

How do we interpret the Schwarzschild metric? It is the metric outside any spherically symmetric body. So it is invalid if $r < \tilde{r}$ where $\tilde{r}$ is the physical size of the body.

For example the gravitational field of the earth is correctly described by it for $r > 6378 \text{ km}$ (or a bit more if you want to exclude the atmosphere). (here we need to ignore the earth's rotation)

The Schwarzschild metric appears to have some strange properties for $r = 2GM$. We see that at this point $g_{00} = 0$: "infinite redshift". Also $g_{rr} \rightarrow \infty$.

However this is not a problem as long as the physical radius of the matter distribution, $\tilde{r}$, is greater than $2GM$.

For example the earth has

$$2GM \approx 9 \text{ mm}$$

$$\tilde{r} \approx 6378 \text{ km}$$

Since $\tilde{r} \gg 2GM$, the question of continuing the Schwarzschild metric to $r = 2GM$ does not arise. It ceases to be valid long before that!

However if some object has a mass and size such that $\tilde{r} \leq 2GM$ then there is an issue. In this case we are allowed to take r close to $2GM$ and the resulting analysis is extremely subtle & interesting. Such an object is called a black hole.